Helping Patients to Achieve What They Find Most Meaningful in Life
Patients’ and families’ greatest need is often to do what for them is most meaningful. This may be, for example, their religion, their family, or their doing good for others. This piece will explore ways in which care providers may help maximize these ends. Paradigms offered will include Jehovah’s Witness patients needing kidney transplants, a transgender adolescent wanting his sperm preserved, care providers’ deciding whether to disclose that a deceased organ donor had HIV, and care providers seeking to do good for children profoundly impaired and adults who feel shame for just existing.

Features

Familial Discordance Regarding Fertility Preservation for a Transgender Teen: An Ethical Case Study
A 16-year-old adolescent who identifies as transgender wishes to consider fertility preservation prior to the use of gender-affirming hormones. The adolescent’s parents are divorced, and one parent supports fertility preservation while the other does not. This case explores the minor’s future reproductive autonomy and parental decision making in a field where there is limited evidence of known harms and benefits to the use of fertility preservation in the transgender population and about future potential regret from lack of consideration of fertility preservation during the prime window of opportunity. This case is created from a composite of cases seen at multiple institutions.

Proxy Consent by a Physician When a Patient’s Capacity Is Equivocal: Respecting a Patient’s Autonomy by Overriding the Patient’s Ostensible Treatment Preferences
Respect for patients’ autonomy has taken a central place in the practice of medicine. Received wisdom holds that respect for autonomy allows overriding a patient’s treatment preferences only if the patient has been found to lack capacity. This understanding of respect for autonomy requires a dichotomous approach to assessing capacity, whereby a patient must be found either to have full capacity to make some particular treatment decision or must be found to lack capacity to make that decision. However, clinical reality is more complicated, and, in borderline cases, different physicians may arrive at disparate judgments of capacity. In such cases, when capacity-determination protocols fail to achieve consensus, physicians would benefit from guidance regarding the clinical decision-making process necessary to elucidate the most ethically sound course of action. This article considers one such case and argues that, in a limited number of cases, respect for autonomy may require overriding a patient’s stated treatment preference when a capacity determination is equivocal, even though the patient has not clearly demonstrated a lack of capacity.

Training to Increase Rater Reliability When Assessing the Quality of Ethics Consultation Records with the Ethics Consultation Quality Assessment Tool (ECQAT)
The Ethics Consultation Quality Assessment Tool (ECQAT) establishes standards by which the quality of ethics consultation records (ECRs) can be assessed. These standards relate to the ethics question, consultation-specific information, ethical analysis, and recommendations and/or conclusions, and result in a score associated with one of four levels of ethics consultation quality. For the ECQAT to be useful in assessing and improving the quality of healthcare ethics consultations, individuals who rate the quality of ECRs need to be able to reliably use the tool.
We developed a short course to train ethics consultants in using the ECQAT, and evaluated whether the participants (1) achieved an acceptable level of calibration in matching expert-established quality scores for a set of ethics consultations, and (2) were satisfied with the course. We recruited 28 ethics consultants to participate in a virtual, six-session course. At each session participants and faculty reviewed, rated, and discussed one to two ECRs. The participants’ calibration in matching expert-established quality scores improved with repeated exposure at all levels of ethics consultation quality. Participants were generally more accurate when assessing consultation quality at the dichotomous level of “acceptable” (scores of three or four) versus “unacceptable” (scores of one or two) than they were with a more specific score. Participants had higher rates of accuracy with the extreme ratings of “strong” (level four) or “poor” (level one). Although participants were highly satisfied with the course, only a minority of participants achieved the prespecified acceptable level of calibration (that is, 80 percent or greater accuracy.
That Jehovah’s Witnesses may refuse lifesaving blood transfusions is a morally accepted feature of contemporary medical practice. The principle of respect for autonomy supports this, and there is seldom reason to interfere with this choice because it rarely harms another individual. Advances in surgical technique have made it possible for transplant surgeons to perform bloodless organ transplant, enabling Jehovah’s Witnesses to benefit from this treatment. When the transplant organ is a directed donation from a family member or friend, no ethical dilemma arises. However, when a Jehovah’s Witness cannot identify a living donor and wishes to be listed for organ transplant, the transplant team may face an ethical dilemma. On the one hand, it wishes to provide care to the patient that is compatible with her or his preferences. On the other hand, the team may wonder if it is fair to other patients who need an organ and will accept blood transfusion to include the Jehovah’s Witness patient on a waiting list for a donated organ. If the Jehovah’s Witness patient is listed and receives an organ, then a patient who also needs an organ, and who is willing to accept all care to optimize the success of the transplant, may be denied an organ.

To frame the ethical dilemma outlined above we present an anonymized case of a Jehovah’s Witness woman in urgent need of a kidney, who was referred to one of the authors’ institution’s transplant center. We review the evolution of the Jehovah’s Witness position on blood transfusion and the medical community’s efforts to provide care that accommodates this religious commitment. If Witnesses are to be denied transplant in the name of justice, there must be an ethically sound reason. We identify two rationales in the literature: (1) this allocation is unacceptable because it will cost lives; (2) resources should be allocated to patients who comply with the standard of care. We argue that neither apply to this dilemma. We also emphasize the importance of examining the data on outcomes of transplant with and without transfusion. Our interpretation of the published data on transplant without...
transfusion is that the outcomes are similar. We conclude that, in the absence of data that resources are risked, it is not ethical to refuse to include a Jehovah’s Witness patient on a waiting list for an organ. Finally, we reflect on the heterogeneity in transplant institutes’ polices for accepting Jehovah’s Witness patients.

Cases from the Cleveland Clinic

Discomfort as a Catalyst: An Ethical Analysis of Donation after Cardiac Death in a Patient with Locked-In Syndrome

Donation after cardiac death (DCD) traditionally occurs in two patient populations: (1) those who do not meet neurological death criteria but who have suffered severe neurological damage, and (2) those who are fully alert and awake but are dependent on machines. This case highlights the unique dilemma when a patient falls between these two populations—conscious and cognitively intact, but completely paralyzed except for limited eye movement, afflicted by what the medical community refers to as locked-in syndrome. Prompted by the treatment team’s discomfort, an ethics consultant examined whether the team was obligated to discuss a decision to donate with the patient, who was a registered organ donor. This article shows how, in determining whether or not to talk to the patient or family during end-of-life decision making, the weight assigned to the various ethical concerns in the case—the patient’s condition, the decision to be made, and the family’s agreement or disagreement regarding the patient’s wishes—can “swing the pendulum” of ethical analysis in different ways. The comfort of the patient must be accorded the highest priority, as well as the needs of the patient’s family. This case study highlights the nuanced contextual factors necessary to guide a treatment team’s approach to DCD for a patient with uncertain decision-making capacity.

Perspective

To Give or Not to Give: The Challenge of Pharmaceutical Coupons

Diabetes is epidemic and many people cannot afford insulin, a lifesaving medication, as its price has increased by almost 160 percent in the past five years. To help subsidize the cost of insulin, one of the staff members at my hospital would like to give patients copayment coupons provided to her by pharmaceutical companies. I advised my colleague to stop distributing these branded coupons, as they promote particular pharmaceutical companies. This practice is not consistent with the policy on interaction with industry established by the Johns Hopkins Health System. Yet at the same time, I want my patients to be able to afford their insulin so they can treat their diabetes. I truly believe in utilitarianism. Would temporarily subsidizing patients’ insulin make me and my staff better healthcare providers? Would this minimize my patients’ financial burden? Would giving away medications coupons help pharmaceutical companies influence me as a prescriber? This challenge created a personal internal debate and profound moral distress.

The Journal of Clinical Ethics Volume 29, Number 3, Fall 2018

At the Bedside

How We May Become Detached from Our Patients and What We Can Do If This Happens

When clinicians provide clinical care or participate in ethics consultations, they may feel exceptionally painful emotions. When they do, they may distance themselves emotionally from patients and families. This distancing may harm these parties profoundly. It is therefore critical that clinicians avoid this distancing. In this piece, I present an approach that lies outside traditional practice that clinicians may use to try to avoid and even reverse this distancing, if and when they sense that this may be occurring. This approach may also benefit patients and families. It may increase their sense that their clinicians are working with them as allies to achieve their shared medical goals.

Features

Deathbed Confession: When a Dying Patient Confesses to Murder: Clinical, Ethical, and Legal Implications
Laura Tincknell, Anne O’Callaghan, Joanna Manning, and Phillipa Malpas, The Journal of Clinical Ethics 29, no. 3 (Fall 2018): 179-84.

During an initial palliative care assessment, a dying man discloses that he had killed several people whilst a young man. The junior doctor, to whom he revealed his story, consulted with senior palliative care colleagues. It was agreed that legal advice would be sought on the issue of breaching the man’s confidentiality. Two legal opinions conflicted with each other. A decision was made by the clinical team not to inform the police.

In this article the junior doctor, the palliative medicine specialist, a medical ethicist, and a lawyer consider the case from their various perspectives.

Ethical Challenges in Acute Evaluation of Suspected Psychogenic Stroke Mimics
Tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) is administered to patients with suspected ischemic stroke to improve blood flow to the brain. In rare cases, patients present with complaints of stroke symptoms that appear to be non-organic due to malingering, factitious disorder, or conversion disorder (psychogenic stroke mimics). Deciding whether or not to administer tPA to these patients can be challenging. The risk of hemorrhage after administration of tPA is low, but not zero. The ethical principles of beneficence and nonmaleficence need to be weighed carefully in these situations. We present two cases of patients with suspected psychogenic stroke mimics to illustrate the ethical challenges faced in identifying and managing psychogenic stroke mimics. Further research is needed to demonstrate effective treatment strategies for patients with acute stroke symptoms of psychogenic etiology.

Positive HIV Test Results from Deceased Organ Donors: Should We Disclose to Next of Kin?
In the context of deceased organ donation, donors are routinely tested for HIV, to check for suitability for organ donation. This article examines whether a donor’s HIV status should be disclosed to the donor’s next of kin.

On the one hand, confidentiality requires that sensitive information not be disclosed, and a duty to respect confidentiality may persist after death. On the other hand, breaching confidentiality may benefit third parties at risk of having been infected by the organ donor, as it may permit them to be tested for HIV and seek treatment in case of positive results.

We conclude that the duty to warn third parties surpasses the duty to respect confidentiality. However, in order to minimize risks linked to the breach of confidentiality, information should be restrained to only concerned third parties, that is, those susceptible to having been infected by the donor.

Posthumous HIV Disclosure and Relational Rupture
In response to Anne L. Dalle Ave and David M. Shaw, we agree with their general argument but emphasize a moral risk of HIV disclosure in deceased donation cases: the risk of relational rupture. Because of the importance that close relationships have to our sense of self and our life plans, this kind of rupture can have long-ranging implications for surviving loved ones. Moreover, the now-deceased individual cannot participate in any relational mending. Our analysis reveals the hefty moral costs that disclosure can bring, which should influence what information is given to would-be donors and how organ procurement coordinators approach these conversations.

Plain Anabaptists and Healthcare Ethics
Plain Anabaptists are a small but rapidly growing ethnoreligious society with significant concentrations of population in a number of regions in North America. Among the most widely known of the various groups of Plain Anabaptists are the Amish and the Old Order Mennonites. It is the purpose of this article to provide insight into the culture and values of the Plain Anabaptists so that those who may be called upon to address ethical conflict involving Plain Anabaptists can do so with appropriate knowledge and sensitivity. The discussion of the culture and values of the Plain Anabaptists will be organized as follows: first we will explore the implications of Plain Anabaptist culture and values for applying the ethical principles of respect for autonomy and beneficence/nonmaleficence. Second, a brief description of several elements of Plain Anabaptist culture will be discussed with attention to the potential they create for ethical conflict in the healthcare setting.

Refusal of Vaccination: A Test to Balance Societal and Individual Interests
While all states in the United States require certain vaccinations for school attendance, all but three allow for religious exemptions to receiving such vaccinations, and 18 allow for exemptions on the basis of other deeply held personal beliefs. The rights of parents to raise children as they see fit may conflict with the duty of the government and society to protect the welfare of children. In the U.S., these conflicts have not been settled in a uniform and consistent manner. We apply a test that provides a concrete and formal rubric to evaluate such conflicts. For some vaccinations, based on the individual medical characteristics of the disease and the risks of being unvaccinated, the test would suggest that permitting conscientious exemptions is ethical. However, for vaccinations protecting against other diseases that are more severe or easily transmitted, the test would suggest that the federal government may ethically impose laws that deny such exemptions.

Nursing Ethics Huddles to Decrease Moral Distress among Nurses in the Intensive Care Unit
Background: Moral distress (MD) is an emotional and psychological response to morally challenging dilemmas. Moral distress is experienced frequently by nurses in the intensive care unit (ICU) and can result in emotional anguish, work dissatisfaction, poor patient outcomes, and high levels of nurse turnover. Opportunities to discuss ethically challenging situations may lessen MD and its associated sequela.
The traditional mission of safety net hospitals has been charity, providing the best healthcare for all individuals no matter their ability to pay. The focus has been on vulnerable populations that are low-income, uninsured, and other upstream circumstances that manifest downstream as poor health, poor health outcomes, and repeated high-cost interventions that fail to break cycles of perpetual health instability. Safety net hospitals are committed to serving their populations, even if only temporarily, through provision of subsidies and filling gaps that exist in patients’ lives. These interventions do not lead to the elimination of gaps, hence cyclical health instability persists. It is a new day in healthcare and what it means for people to be well. The focus is on improving health outcomes by addressing root causes of health instability such as unstable housing, income, education, and access to affordable healthy foods. This gives us pause to reflect on the traditional mission of safety net hospitals and the impact of charity in isolation. Are safety net hospitals missing an opportunity to mitigate and eliminate perpetual health instability? Can they shift the paradigm of healthcare for vulnerable populations to alter their quality-of-life course? To move forward, safety net hospitals missing an opportunity to mitigate and eliminate perpetual health instability? Can they shift the paradigm of healthcare for vulnerable populations to alter their quality-of-life course? To move forward, safety net hospitals have to change their mindset and existing narratives about what is possible for vulnerable populations to achieve. These historic giants in healthcare have an opportunity to use their assets and employ a methodology of disruption and innovation to shift the mission of safety net healthcare from charity to equity.

Scribes, Electronic Health Records, and the Expectation of Confidentiality


Electronic health record (EHRs) have largely replaced obsolete paper medical charts. This replacement has produced an increased demand on physicians’ time and has compromised efficiency. In an attempt to overcome this perceived obstacle to productivity, physicians turned to medical scribes to perform the work required by EHRs. In doing so, they have introduced an uninvited participant in the physician-patient relationship and compromised patients’ confidentiality. Scribes may be a successful work around for physicians frustrated by EHRs, but patients’ confidentiality should not be sacrificed in the process.

Perspectives

The Mission of Safety Net Hospitals: Charity or Equity?


"I Would Do It All Over Again": Cherishing Time and the Absence of Regret in Continuing a Pregnancy after a Life-Limiting Diagnosis


Parents, after learning of a life-limiting fetal condition (LLFC), experience emotional distress and must consider options that impact the remainder of the pregnancy, their future lives, and family members. For those who continue, little is known about their long-term presence or absence of regret about their choice, the reasons for this feeling, or its impact on their life. The aim of this research was to examine the concept of decision regret in parents who opted to continue a pregnancy affected by an LLFC. The contextual factors, conditions, and consequences surrounding the presence or absence of regret were analyzed.

Results: Overall, use of nurse-ethicist-led nursing ethics huddles was associated with improved quality of work life, patient care, and clinical ethics knowledge. The change in pre- and post-nursing ethics huddles MD scores was statistically significant (p < 0.0001).

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 29, Number 2, Summer 2018
Features

Psychiatric Diagnoses and Informed Consent

Although informed consent for treatment has become a cornerstone principle of psychiatric care, the process of diagnosis has remained largely in the hands of the physician alone. While the conferring of a psychiatric diagnosis has historically not been considered a form of medical intervention, the potential impact of a diagnosis for any particular patient may be substantial. This article explores the challenges involved in balancing respect for patients with the physician's duty of truth-telling and clinical accuracy.

Response to “Psychiatric Diagnoses and Informed Consent”
David Brendel, *The Journal of Clinical Ethics* 29, no. 2 (Summer): 100-1.

A patient's rights to informed consent and self-determination in psychiatric treatment are well enshrined, but the same rights have not yet been meaningfully extended to patients with regard to psychiatric diagnosis. Andrew Clark's essay entitled "Psychiatric Diagnoses and Informed Consent" in The Journal of Clinical Ethics empowers both psychiatrists and patients to rethink who "owns" the process of clinical assessment and of bestowing diagnostic labels that may have far-reaching consequences. Clark's article represents a noteworthy breakthrough in the field's ongoing journey toward enhancing informed consent, personal dignity, and patients' active involvement in their own care.

TTaPP: Together Take a Pause and Ponder: A Critical Thinking Tool for Exploring the Public/Private Lives of Patients

The broad use of social networking and user-generated content has increased the online footprint of many individuals. A generation of healthcare professionals have grown up with online search activities as part of their everyday lives. Sites like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram have given the public new ways to share intimate details about their public and private lives and the lives of their friends and families. As a result, careproviders have the ability to find out more about their patients with just the tap of a key or the click of a mouse. This type of online searching for patient information is known as patient-targeted googling or PTG.

This article provides an overview of the emergence of PTG, identifies the potential benefits and possible pitfalls of engaging in PTG, and explores current ethical frameworks that guide decisions about PTG. The article describes the development of a critical thinking tool developed by the Behavioral Health Ethics Committee at CHI Health, that can serve as a best-practice model for other hospitals and health systems. Called TTaPP (Together Take a Pause and Ponder), this tool is designed to help healthcare professionals across settings practice collaborative critical thinking skills as they consider the ethical questions of whether or not to engage in PTG. Finally, this article suggests areas for further study, including ways to prompt collaboration and appropriate documentation by maximizing electronic medical records systems, exploring the effectiveness of the TTaPP tool as a way to promote a culture of collaborative critical thinking practices, and the attitudes of patients and the public regarding PTG.

Medical Futility in Concept, Culture, and Practice

This article elucidates the premises and limited meaning of medical futility in order to formulate an ethically meaningful definition of the term, that is, a medical intervention's inability to deliver the benefit for which it is designed. It uses this definition to show the two ways an intervention could become medically futile, to recommend an even more limited usage of medical futility, and to explain why an intervention need not be futile in order to be withdrawn over patient-based objections. If an intervention retains some benefit, then patients or surrogates might legitimately consider that benefit in their case and request the intervention. Physicians might still be justified in declining it on the grounds that the burdens greatly outweigh the benefits, but not on the grounds of futility. Finally, the article uses bioethics research and healthcare litigation to clarify the meaning of futility in practice and recommends alternative language when possible.

Action Guide for Addressing Ethical Challenges of Resource Allocation Within Community-Based Healthcare Organization
This article proposes an action guide to making decisions regarding the ethical allocation of resources that affect access to healthcare services offered by community-based healthcare organizations. Using the filter of empirical data from a study of decision making in two community-based healthcare organizations, we identify potentially relevant conceptual guidance from a review of frameworks and action guides in the public health, health policy, and organizational ethics literature. We describe the development of this action guide. We used data from a prior empirical study of the values that influence decision making about the allocation of resources in particular types of community-based healthcare organizations. We evaluated, organized, and specified the conceptual guidance we found in 14 frameworks for ethical decision making. The result is an action guide that includes four domains that are relevant to the context of the decision to be made, eight domains that are relevant to the process of the decision to be made, and 15 domains that are relevant to the criteria of the decision to be made. We demonstrate the potential use of this action guide by walking through an illustrative resource allocation decision. The action guide provides community-based healthcare organizations with a conceptually grounded, empirically informed framework for ethical decision making.

Treaturing Vulnerable Populations

Medical Decision Making for Medically Complex Children in Foster Care: Who Knows the Child’s Best Interests?

Approximately one in 10 children in foster care are medically complex and require intensive medical supervision, frequent hospitalization, and difficult medical decision making. Some of these children are in foster care because their parents cannot care for their medical needs; other parents are responsible for their child’s medical needs due to abuse or neglect. In either case, there can be uncertainty about the role that a child’s biological parents should play in making serious medical decisions. Here we highlight some of the ethical challenges inherent in making these decisions for children in foster care, as seen through the lenses of a child welfare provider, an inpatient care physician, and a primary care pediatrician.

Medically Complex Children in Foster Care: Do Research “Protections” Make This “Vulnerable Population” More Vulnerable?

Children in foster care are considered a “vulnerable population” in clinical care and research, with good reason. These children face multiple medical, psychological, and social risks that obligate the child welfare and healthcare systems to protect them from further harms. An unintended consequence of the “vulnerable population” designation for children in foster care is that it may impose barriers on tracking and studying their health that creates gaps in knowledge that are key to their receipt of medical care and good outcomes. These gaps in knowledge have implications for justice, beneficence, and maleficence and serve to undermine “protection” of this population. Here we review the challenges of research regarding children in foster care, particularly medically complex children, and offer specific recommendations to include children in foster care in medical research.

Ethics Consultation Practice

The Work of ASBH’s Clinical Ethics Consultation Affairs Committee: Development Processes Behind Our Educational Materials

The authors of this article are previous or current members of the Clinical Ethics Consultation Affairs (CECA) Committee, a standing committee of the American Society for Bioethics and Humanities (ASBH). The committee is composed of seasoned healthcare ethics consultants (HCECs), and it is charged with developing and disseminating education materials for HCECs and ethics committees. The purpose of this article is to describe the educational research and development processes behind our teaching materials, which culminated in a case studies book called *A Case-Based Study Guide for Addressing Patient-Centered Ethical Issues in Health Care* (hereafter, the Study Guide). In this article, we also enumerate how the Study Guide could be used in teaching and learning, and we identify areas that are ripe for future work.

The Development and Rationale for CECA’s Case-Based Study Guide

This article discusses the approach of the Clinical Ethics Consultation Advisory Committee (CECA) in developing A Case-Based Study Guide for Addressing Patient-Centered Ethical Issues in Health Care. This article addresses the processes used by the CECA, its use of pivot questions intended to encourage critical reflection, and the target audience of this work. It first considers the salience of case studies in general education and their relevance for training ethics consultants. Second, it discusses the enfolding approach used in presenting the case material designed to engage the trainee in the details of the case while stimulating critical reflection. And, third, this article briefly
comments on the target audience with the caveat that even superbly developed cases are prone to misuse, although that prospect should not deter their development.

**Letter**

**Letter: Can Islamic Jurisprudence Justify Procurement of Transplantable Vital Organs in Brain Death?**


In their article, “An International Legal Review of the Relationship between Brain Death and Organ Transplantation,” in The Journal of Clinical Ethics 29, no. 1, Aramesh, Arima, Gardiner, and Shah reported on diverse international legislative approaches for justifying procurement of transplantable vital organs in brain death. They stated, "In Islamic traditions in particular, the notion of unstable life is a way to justify organ donation from brain-dead patients that we believe has not been fully described previously in the literature.” This commentary queries the extent to which this concept is valid in accordance with the primary source of Islamic law, that is, the Quran.

**The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 29, Number 1, Spring 2018**

**At the Bedside**

**Slowing Down Fast Thinking to Enhance Understanding**


Stress can make the comprehension of complex information more difficult, yet patients and their family members often must receive, process, and make decisions based on new, complex information presented in unfamiliar and stressful clinical environments such as the intensive care unit. Family members may be asked to make decisions regarding the donation of organs and genetic tissue soon after the death of a loved one, based on new, complex information, under tight time limits. How can we assist patients and families better process complex information while under stress, and to make better decisions for themselves or for a loved one?

**Features**

“Buying-In” and “Cashing-Out”: Patients’ Experience and the Refusal of Life-Prolonging Treatment


Surgical “buy-in” is an “informal contract between surgeon and patient in which the patient not only consents to the operative procedure but commits to the post-operative surgical care anticipated by the surgeon.” Surgeons routinely assume that patients wish to undergo treatment for operative complications so that the overall treatment course is “successful,” as in the treatment of a post-operative infection. This article examines occasions when patients buy-in to a treatment course that carries risk of complication, yet refuse treatment when complications arise. We coin this counter-phenomenon “cashing-out.” Cashing-out may elicit negative feelings among careproviders. We question why patients or families may wish to cash-out. One reason may be the changing epistemological position of patients as they experience a complication. The shift from the hypothetical discussion of complications during the initial informed-consent process to the experience of having a complication represents new knowledge. Patients and families may use this knowledge as the basis to revoke consent for some or all of the remaining treatment course. This article seeks to understand cashing-out in terms of the patients’ experiences. We hope to prompt recognition of this phenomenon across medical contexts and to provide impetus for further work to understand why patients may wish to cash-out.

**Impact of Cognitive Load on Family Decision Makers’ Recall and Understanding of Donation Requests for the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Project**


Genomic research projects that collect tissues from deceased organ and tissue donors must obtain the authorization of family decision makers under difficult circumstances that may affect the authorization process. Using a quasi-experimental design, the Ethical, Legal, and Social Issues (ELSI) substudy of the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project compared the recall and understanding of the donation authorization process of two groups: family members who had authorized donation of tissues to the GTEx project (the comparison group) and family members who had authorized organ and tissue donations in years previous, who subsequently participated in two different mock-authorization processes that mimicked the GTEx authorization process (the intervention groups). Participants in the comparison and intervention groups were matched on key demographic characteristics.

We found that participants in the intervention groups who experienced a mock-authorization process demonstrated better recall of the tissue donation request than members of the comparison group. Our data indicate that the stress associated with the loss of a loved one limited the ability of family members to recall details about the GTEx project. However, we found a similar lack of knowledge in both the comparison and the intervention group participants, suggesting lack of knowledge may be due to the complexity and unfamiliarity of the information presented to them during the authorization process. We discuss these findings in the context of everyday clinical decision making in cognitively challenging conditions.
Genomic research projects that collect tissues from deceased organ and tissue donors must obtain the authorization of family decision makers under difficult circumstances that may affect the authorization process. Using a quasi-experimental design, the Ethical, Legal, and Social Issues (ELSI) substudy of the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project compared the recall and understanding of the donation authorization process of two groups: family members who had authorized donation of tissues to the GTEx project (the comparison group) and family members who had authorized organ and tissue donations in years previous, who subsequently participated in two different mock-authorization processes that mimicked the GTEx authorization process (the intervention groups). Participants in the comparison and intervention groups were matched on key demographic characteristics.

We found that participants in the intervention groups who experienced a mock-authorization process demonstrated better recall of the tissue donation request than members of the comparison group. Our data indicate that the stress associated with the loss of a loved one limited the ability of family members to recall details about the GTEx project. However, we found a similar lack of knowledge in both the comparison and the intervention group participants, suggesting lack of knowledge may be due to the complexity and unfamiliarity of the information presented to them during the authorization process. We discuss these findings in the context of everyday clinical decision making in cognitively challenging conditions.

**An International Legal Review of the Relationship between Brain Death and Organ Transplantation**


The “dead-donor rule” states that, in any case of vital organ donation, the potential donor should be determined to be dead before transplantation occurs. In many countries around the world, neurological criteria can be used to legally determine death (also referred to as brain death). Nevertheless, there is considerable controversy in the bioethics literature over whether brain death is the equivalent of biological death. This international legal review demonstrates that there is considerable variability in how different jurisdictions have evolved to justify the legal status of brain death and its relationship to the dead-donor rule.

In this article, we chose to review approaches that are representative of many different jurisdictions—the United States takes an approach similar to that of many European countries; the United Kingdom’s approach is followed by Canada, India, and influences many other Commonwealth countries; Islamic jurisprudence is applicable to several different national laws; the Israeli approach is similar to many Western countries, but incorporates noteworthy modifications; and Japan’s relatively idiosyncratic approach has received some attention in the literature. Illuminating these different justifications may help develop respectful policies regarding organ donation within countries with diverse populations and allow for more informed debate about brain death and the dead-donor rule.

**Clinical Practice**

**The Bedside Capacity Assessment Tool: Further Development of a Clinical Tool to Assist with a Growing Aging Population with Increased Healthcare Complexities**


Background: As the population of the United States ages, chronic diseases increase and treatment options become technologically more complicated. As such, patients’ autonomy, or the right of patients to accept or refuse a medical treatment, may become a more pressing and complicated issue. This autonomy rests upon a patient’s capacity to make a decision. As more older, cognitively and functionally impaired individuals enter healthcare systems, quality assessments of decision-making capacity must be made. These assessments should be done in a time-efficient manner at a patient’s bedside by the patient’s own physician. Thus, a clinically practical tool to assist in decision-making capacity assessments could help guide physicians in making more accurate judgments.

Objectives: To create a clinically relevant Bedside Capacity Assessment Tool (BCAT) to help physicians make timely and accurate clinical assessments of a patient’s decision-making capacity for a specific decision.

Setting: The Department of Medicine, Division of Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell.

Participants: Geriatric medicine fellows, palliative medicine fellows, and internal medicine residents ($n = 30$).

Measurements: Subjects used the BCAT to assess the decision-making capacity of patients described in 10 written, clinically complex capacity assessment vignettes. Subjects’ conclusions were compared to those of experts.

Results: The subjects’ and experts’ assessments of capacity had a 76.1 percent rate of agreement, with a range of 50 percent to 100 percent. With removal of three complex outlier vignettes, the agreement rate reached 83.2 percent.

Conclusion: The strong correlation between the two groups—one of physicians in training utilizing the BCAT and the other of specialists in this area—suggests that the BCAT may be a useful adjunct for clinicians who assess decision-making capacity in routine practice. The range indicates that further refinement and testing of this tool is necessary. The potential exists for this tool to improve capacity assessment skills for physicians in clinical practice.
Evolving practice requires peer review of clinical ethics (CE) consultation for quality assessment and improvement. Many institutions have identified the chart note as the basis for this process, but to our knowledge, electronic health record (EHR) systems are not necessarily designed to easily include CE consultation notes. This article provides framework for the inclusion of CE consultation notes into the formal EHR, describing a developed system in the Epic EHR that allows for the elaborated electronic notation of the CE chart note. The implementation of the “meaningful use” criteria for EHR, mandated by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act of 2009, requires that health professionals meet certain standards for quality, efficiency, and safety, all of which overlap with the goals of standardization, peer review, and quality improvement within CE consultation. 

The Ethics of Bundled Payments in Total Joint Replacement: “Cherry Picking” and “Lemon Dropping”
The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services has initiated bundled payments for hip and knee total joint replacement in an effort to decrease healthcare costs and increase quality of care. The ethical implications of this program have not been studied. This article considers the ethics of patient selection to improve outcomes; specifically, screening patients by body mass index to determine eligibility for total joint replacement. I argue that this type of screening is not ethically defensible, and that the bundled payment program as structured is likely to lead to unfair restrictions on who receives total joint replacements.

Law
Colorado’s New Proxy Law: Moving from Statute to Guidelines
In 2016, the Colorado legislature passed an amendment to Colorado’s medical proxy law that established a process for the appointment of a physician to act as proxy decision maker of last resort for an unrepresented patient (Colorado HB 16-1101: Medical Decisions For Unrepresented Patients). The legislative process brought together a diverse set of stakeholders, not all of whom supported the legislation. Following passage of the statutory amendment, the Colorado Collaborative for Unrepresented Patients (CCUP), a group of advocates responsible for initiating the legislative process, coordinated a unique effort to engage these stakeholders in the creation of a set of voluntary guidelines to assist facilities and individual careproviders in the implementation of policies and procedures enabled by the statute. This article delineates the questions and concerns of stakeholders, describes how those issues were addressed within the guidelines, and proposes additional opportunities for research to assess the impact of the legislation in Colorado.
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New Ways to Cut through Ethical Gordian Knots
Clinicians and ethicists routinely encounter complex ethical dilemmas that seem intractable, which have been described as ethical Gordian knots. How can they best assist patients and surrogate decision makers who are entangled in struggles around the capacity to make life-or-death treatment decisions? In this article I describe unconventional and unorthodox approaches to help slice through these dilemmas.

Features
Comparativism and the Grounds for Person-Centered Care and Shared Decision Making
This article provides a new argument and a new value-theoretical ground for person-centered care and shared decision making that ascribes to it the role of enabling rational choice in situations involving clinical choice. Rather than referring to good health outcomes and/or ethical grounds such as patient autonomy, it argues that a plausible justification and ground for person-centered care and shared decision making is preservation of rationality in the face of comparative non-determinacy in clinical settings. Often, no alternative treatment will be better than or equal to every other alternative. In the face of such comparative non-determinacy, Ruth Chang has argued that we can make rational decisions by invoking reasons that are created through acts of willing. This article transfers this view to clinical decision making and argues that shared decision making provides a solution to non-determinacy problems in clinical settings. This view of the role of shared decision making provides a new understanding of its nature, and it also allows us to better understand when caregivers should engage in shared decision making and when they should not.

Incapacitated Surrogates: A New and Increasing Dilemma in Hospital Care
A power of attorney for healthcare (POAHC) form gives designated individuals legal status to make healthcare decisions when patients are unable to convey their decisions to medical staff. Completion of a POAHC form is crucial in the provision of comprehensive healthcare, since it helps to ensure that patients’ interests, values, and preferences are represented in decisions about their medical treatment. Because increasing numbers of people suffer from debilitating illness and cognitive deficits, healthcare systems may be called upon to navigate the complexities of patients’ care without clear directives from the patients themselves. Hence, the healthcare industry encourages all individuals to complete a POAHC form to ensure that persons who have the patients’ trust are able to act as their surrogate decision makers. However, sometimes POAHC agents, even when they are patients’ trusted agents, lack the capacity to make fully informed decisions that are in the patients’ best interests. We describe designated surrogate decision makers who have impaired or diminished judgment capacity as incapacitated surrogates. Decision making that is obviously flawed or questionable is a significant impediment to providing timely and appropriate care to patients. Moreover, failure to redress these issues in a timely and efficient manner can result in significant costs to an institution and a diminished quality of patient care. The authors offer a legal, ethical, and interdisciplinary framework to help navigate cases of incapacitated surrogates.

**Measuring Instrument for Ethical Sensitivity in the Therapeutic Sciences**


There are currently no instruments available to measure ethical sensitivity in the therapeutic sciences. This study therefore aimed to develop and implement a measure of ethical sensitivity that would be applicable to four therapeutic professions, namely audiology, occupational therapy, physiotherapy, and speech-language pathology. The study followed a two-phase, sequential exploratory mixed-methods design. Phase One, the qualitative development phase, employed six stages and focused on developing an instrument based on a systematic review: an analysis of professional ethical codes, focus group discussions, in-depth interviews, a review of public complaints websites, and an expert panel review. The development phase culminated in the Measuring Instrument for Ethical Sensitivity in the Therapeutic Sciences (MIEST), a pen-and-paper measure for studying ethical sensitivity in the therapeutic sciences.

Phase Two, the quantitative stage, focused on implementing the MIEST in two different stages. A total of 100 participants completed the instrument. MIEST scores were found to be comparable for all four professions, which confirmed the multidisciplinary usability of the instrument. Participants tended to base decisions on the ethical principle of beneficence. The MIEST is effective to assess and describe the ethical sensitivity of professionals in the four specified therapeutic sciences. The constructed vignettes also make the MIEST appropriate for use in problem-based learning programs.

**Make It Plain: Strengthening the Ethical Foundation of First-Person Authorization for Organ Donation**


One response to the chronic shortage of organs for transplant in the United States has been the passage of laws establishing first-person authorization for donation of organs, providing legal grounds for the retrieval of organs and tissues from registered donors, even over the objections of their next of kin. The ethical justification for first-person authorization is that it is a matter of respecting the donor’s wishes. The objection of some next of kin may be that the donor would not have wished for his or her loved ones to have their preferences overridden, had they considered that possibility. This article examines the basis of the conflict and suggests a remedy grounded in the provision of donor-intent options that have the ability to clarify the donor’s wishes.

**Cases from the Cleveland Clinic**

**Incarcerated Patients and Equitability: The Ethical Obligation to Treat Them Differently**


Prisoners are legally categorized as a vulnerable group for the purposes of medical research, but their vulnerability is not limited to the research context. Prisoner-patients may experience lower standards of care, fewer options for treatment, violations of privacy, and the use of inappropriate surrogates as a result of their status. This case study highlights some of the ways in which a prisoner-patient’s vulnerable status impacted the care he received. The article argues the following: (1) Prisoner-patients are entitled to the same quality of care as all other patients, and healthcare providers should be vigilant to ensure that the stigma of incarceration does not influence care decisions. (2) Options for treatment should reflect what is most medically appropriate in the hospital or other healthcare setting, even when not all treatments would be available in the correctional setting. (3) The presence of guards at the bedside requires that additional measures be taken to protect the privacy and confidentiality of prisoner-patients. (4) When end-of-life decisions must be made for an incapacitated patient, prison physicians are not well placed to act as surrogate decision makers, which heightens the obligations of the healthcare professionals in the hospital to ensure an ethically supportable process and outcome. Therefore, healthcare professionals should provide extra protection for those prisoner-patients who do not have decision-making capacity, by utilizing a robust process for decision making such as those used for incapacitated patients without surrogates, rather than relying solely on prison physicians as surrogates.
Clinical Ethics Consultation

**Ongoing Evaluation of Clinical Ethics Consultations as a Form of Continuous Quality Improvement**

Ongoing evaluation of a clinical ethics consultation service (ECS) allows for continuous quality improvement, a process-based, data-driven approach for improving the quality of a service. Evaluations by stakeholders involved in a consultation can provide real-time feedback about what is working well and what might need to be improved. Although numerous authors have previously presented data from research studies on the effectiveness of clinical ethics consultation, few ECSs routinely send evaluations as an ongoing component of their everyday clinical activities. The primary purpose of this article is to equip and encourage others to engage in ongoing evaluation of their own ECS. Toward that end, the following resources are shared: (1) the survey tool used to gather the evaluation data, (2) the procedure used to elicit and collate responses, and (3) how the resulting data are used to support continuous quality improvement and justify the continued financial support of the ECS to hospital administration.

**Moral Distress, Ethical Environment, and the Embedded Ethicist**

Interest in understanding the experience of moral distress has steadily gained traction in the 30 years since Jameton first described the phenomenon. This curiosity should be of no surprise, since we now have data documenting the incidence across most caregiver roles and healthcare settings, both in the United States and internationally. The data have also amplified healthcare providers’ voices who report that the quality of the ethical environment is pivotal to preventing and containing the adverse effects caused by moral distress. Healthcare providers are asking for a moral space where ethics occurs at the bedside, in real time, applied to real cases. They are asking for ethics expertise to be available as part of the care team during their daily work, when treatment goals must be determined and decisions must be made. They are asking for an embedded ethicist who can help cultivate an ethical environment where formal ethics policy is properly applied to practice. This discussion advocates for an embedded ethics resource model that responds to contemporaneous ethics needs as a strategy to mitigate the effects of moral distress.

Medical Education

**The Rise of Hospitalists: An Opportunity for Clinical Ethics**

Translating ethical theories into clinical practice presents a perennial challenge to educators. While many suggestions have been put forth to bridge the theory-practice gap, none have sufficiently remedied the problem. We believe the ascendance of hospital medicine, as a dominant new force in medical education and patient care, presents a unique opportunity that could redefine the way clinical ethics is taught. The field of hospital medicine in the United States is comprised of more than 50,000 hospitalists—specialists in inpatient medicine—representing the fastest growing subspecialty in the history of medicine, and its members have emerged as a dominant new force around which medical education and patient care pivot. This evolution in medical education presents a unique opportunity for the clinical ethics community. Through their proximity to patients and trainees, hospitalists have the potential to teach medical ethics in real time on the wards, but most hospitalists have not received formal training in clinical ethics. We believe it is time to strengthen the ties between hospital medicine and medical ethics, and in this article we outline how clinical ethicists might collaborate with hospitalists to identify routine issues that do not rise to the level of an “ethics consult,” but nonetheless require an intellectual grounding in normative reasoning. We use a clinical vignette to explore how this approach might enhance and broaden the scope of medical education that occurs in the inpatient setting: A patient with an intra-abdominal abscess is admitted to the academic hospitalist teaching service for drainage of the fluid, hemodynamic support, and antimicrobial therapy. During the initial encounter with the hospitalist and his team of medical students and residents, the patient reports night sweats and asks if this symptom could be due to the abscess. How should the hospitalist approach this question?
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At the Bedside

**Nine Lessons from Ashley and Her Parents**

Parents’ love for their child, even a child who has severe impairments, may give them much joy and quality in their life. This is also the case for caregivers of adults with severe cognitive impairments, such as end-stage dementia. How can clinicians work with these parents and caregivers and help them?

Features

**Holding Ashley (X): Bestowing Identity Through Caregiving in Profound Intellectual Disability**

The controversy over the so-called Ashley Treatment (AT), a series of medical procedures that inhibited both growth and sexual development in the body of a profoundly intellectually impaired girl, usually centers either on Ashley’s rights, including a right to an intact, unaltered body, or on Ashley’s parents’ rights to make decisions for her. The
claim made by her parents, that the procedure would improve their ability to care for her, is often dismissed as inappropriate or, at best, irrelevant. We argue, however, that caregiving is a central issue in the controversy, as Ashley’s need for caregiving is a defining characteristic of her life. In this article, we analyze the ethics of the Ashley Treatment within the context of family caregiving. Through the physical and emotional work of caregiving, families participate in the formation and maintenance of personal identity, a process that Hilde Lindemann recently called “holding.” We argue that, in an intellectually disabled person such as Ashley, who depends on her family for every aspect of her care, the family’s contribution to identity is an essential source of personhood. We believe that the treatment can be justified if it is indeed an instance of appropriate family “holding” for Ashley.

How We Become Who We Are: Ashley, Carla, and the Rest of Us
Lisa Freitag and Joan Liaschenko’s thoughtful and important article goes directly to the under-examined heart of Ashley’s case, namely to what sustains her in a habitable and intelligible identity. Though quite sympathetic with their conclusion and line of argument, I try to trouble their proceedings a bit, largely by wondering how having a specific such identity, out of several that may be in-principle available, matters to someone with Ashley’s cognitive scope. I do this not simply to be contrary, but because their article also seems to me to raise issues in the ethics of bioethics—in particular, what I call the dilemma of ethical endeavor: How ought one publicly pursue deeply important and complex issues, the very raising of which may offend interlocutors who indeed have grounds for resentment. Making a habit of second guessing oneself may be part of the answer.

Giving Voice to the Voiceless: The Colorado Response to Unrepresented Patients
Medical decision making on behalf of unrepresented patients is one of the most challenging ethical issues faced in clinical practice. The legal environment surrounding these patients is equally complex. This article describes the efforts of a small coalition of interested healthcare professionals to address the issue in Colorado. A brief history of the effort is presented, along with discussion of the legal, ethical, practical, and political dimensions that arose in Colorado’s effort to address decision making for unrepresented patients through an extension of the existing Colorado Medical Treatment Decision Act (CRS 15-18). A discussion of lessons learned in the process is included.

Re-Evaluating the Ethics of Uterine Transplantation
In February 2016, the Cleveland Clinic initiated the first attempt at cadaveric uterine transplantation (UTx) in the United States. The transplantation was ultimately unsuccessful, but it opened doors for further research on both live and cadaveric UTx. While initial strides toward successful transplantation have been made, questions persist on the ethics of UTx: whether the uterus is a vital organ, whether we should prioritize live or cadaveric options, and how the procedure should be covered by health insurance. If we agree that the goal of the medical profession is both to treat and improve quality of life, then the question of whether or not infertility is considered a disease becomes inconsequential in the discussion. As such, the medical enterprise should move forward with research in UTx. In doing so, considering the ethical implications of UTx remains essential—and we must remember to pair innovation with regulation.

May Medical Centers Give Nonresident Patients Priority in Scheduling Outpatient Follow-Up Appointments?
Many academic medical centers are seeking to attract patients from outside their historical catchment areas for economic and programmatic reasons, and patients are traveling for treatment that is unavailable, of poorer quality, or more expensive at home. Treatment of these patients raises a number of ethical issues including whether they may be given priority in scheduling outpatient follow-up appointments in order to reduce the period of time they are away from home. Granting them priority is potentially unjust because medical treatment is generally allocated based on medical need and resource utilization, and then on a first-come, first-served basis. While it is difficult to compare the opportunity cost of waiting for an appointment to different patients, nonresident patients incur higher expenditures for travel, room, and board than resident patients. Giving them priority in scheduling to reduce these costs may be justifiable. Preferentially scheduling nonresident patients may also indirectly benefit resident patients consistent with Rawls’s difference principle. This potential justification, however, rests on several empirical claims that should be demonstrated. In addition to reducing resident patients’ waiting times, medical centers should not prioritize nonresident patients over resident patients with more urgent medical needs. There is, therefore, a limited and circumscribed justification for prioritizing nonresident patients in scheduling follow-up appointments.

Cases and Analysis
Responding to Moral Distress and Ethical Concerns at the Intersection of Medical Illness and Unmet Mental Health Needs
Some of the most difficult clinical ethics consultations involve patients who have both medical and mental health needs, as these cases can result in considerable moral distress on the part of the bedside staff. In this article we examine the issues that such consults raise through the illustrative example of a particular case: several years ago our ethics consultation service received a request from a critical care attending physician who was considering a rarely performed psychosurgical intervention to address intractable and life-threatening agitation and aggression in an adolescent patient for whom standard treatments had proven unsuccessful. We consider strategies that may be useful in addressing not only the ethical dilemmas or the clinical problems, but also the emotional, social, and moral distress that arise in delivering care in such complex cases, in which standard routine practices of care have been exhausted. In addition, we explore the processes that led to this situation and suggest ways to promote early recognition and intervention for similar cases in the future.

At the Intersection of Faith, Culture, and Family Dynamics: A Complex Case of Refusal of Treatment for Childhood Cancer
Refusing treatment for potentially curable childhood cancers engenders much discussion and debate. I present a case in which the competent parents of a young Amish child with acute myeloid leukemia deferred authority for decision making to the child’s maternal grandfather, who was vocal in his opposition to treatment. I analyze three related concerns that distinguish this case from other accounts of refused treatment.

First, I place deference to grandparents as decision makers in the context of surrogate decision making more generally.

Second, the maternal grandfather’s ardent refusal of treatment and his rationale appeared to be inconsistent with the beliefs expressed by other family members and by members of the same Amish community, leading members of the medical team to question whether refusal of treatment should be treated differently when it appears to be based on the idiosyncratic beliefs of an individual rather than on community-wide values.

Third, the medical team perceived tension and dissension between the nonverbal behavior of some family members and the verbal statements made by the maternal grandfather, leading the team to question the parents’ true wishes and debate how to weigh nonverbal and indirect forms of communication.

Finally, building upon the conclusions of these queries, I explore whether, if the child’s prognosis was less favorable or if he were to relapse later, the maternal grandfather should have been permitted to drive a decision to refuse further treatment.

The Angry Amish Grandfather: Cultural Competence and Empathy: A Case Commentary
Crosscultural encounters are common in the delivery of healthcare, and cultural differences may contribute to misunderstandings and ethical conflict. Encounters between members of the Amish ethno-religious group and modern, science-based healthcare providers hold a high potential for misunderstanding and conflict because the Amish stridently maintain a countercultural outlook and they approach such encounters with suspicion and anxiety. This commentary on the case presented by Amy E. Caruso Brown, MD,1 involving a grandfather’s resistance to treating a child with leukemia commends this physician for successfully managing the case and deriving important insights from reflection upon it. It argues, however, that the level of conflict most likely would have been reduced if the care team had made more of an effort to listen to the grandfather and acknowledge the emotional trauma he had suffered.

Research
The Ethics of Research in Lower Income Countries: Double Standards Are Not the Problem
Discussion of the ethics of clinical trials in lower income countries has been dominated by concern over double standards. Most prominently, clinical trials of interventions that are less effective than the worldwide best treatment methods typically are not permitted in higher income countries. Commentators conclude that permitting such trials in lower income countries involves an ethical double standard. Despite significant attention to this concern, and its influence over prominent guidelines for research in lower income countries, there has been little analysis of what constitutes an ethical double standard in clinical research. The present article attempts to address this gap in the literature. This analysis finds that ethical double standards involve a kind of disrespect, and yields a three-step decision procedure for evaluating when trials of less than the worldwide best methods raise this concern. Application of this procedure reveals that permitting these trials in lower income countries rarely involves an ethical double standard. Instead, the real challenge is determining when clinical trials of interventions that are less effective than the worldwide best represent a permissible and effective response to differences in access to healthcare between higher income and lower income countries. To protect research subjects, without blocking clinical trials that have the potential to improve health in lower income countries, research review committees and other stakeholders should focus on this issue, not on ethical double standards.

Perspectives
Universal Health Care: The Cost of Being Human

In this article I argue that the biological processes that make us human have error rates that distribute illness on a no-fault basis. I propose this as an ethical foundation for universal healthcare.

**Vaccine Exemptions and the Church-State Problem**


All of the 50 states of the United States have laws governing childhood vaccinations; 48 allow for religious exemptions, while 19 also offer exemptions based on some sort of personal philosophy. Recent disease outbreaks have caused these states to reconsider philosophical exemptions. However, we cannot, consistent with the U.S. Constitution, give preference to religion by creating religious exemptions only. The Constitution requires states to put religious and nonreligious claims on equal footing. Given the ubiquity of nonreligious objections to vaccination, I conclude that the best response is to remove all exemptions, as two states have already done. But removing exemptions should not end our concern for children. Removing exemptions only bars children from public schools; it still leaves them unvaccinated, a danger to others, and reliant on whatever nonpublic schooling is available. If public school attendance is not enough of an incentive for vaccine reluctant parents, perhaps we should look into stronger measures.
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**Going from What Is, to What Should Be, to Care Better for Our Patients and Families**


This piece discusses ways in which clinicians may go beyond their usual practices. These include exploring the limits of old laws, consulting with colleagues and ethics committees earlier and more often, and giving patients’ family members new choices they didn’t have previously. This could include asking patients and family members whether clinicians should prioritize staying in the single, unconflicted role of serving patients and families, even when this might preclude simultaneously serving another interest, for example, that of a hospital.

**Features**

**Controversies in Cardiopulmonary Death**


We describe two unusual cases of cardiopulmonary death in mechanically ventilated patients in the neurological intensive care unit. After cardiac arrest, both patients were pulseless for a protracted period. Upon extubation, both developed agonal movements (gasping respiration) resembling life. We discuss these cases and the literature on the ethical and medical controversies associated with determining time of cardiopulmonary death. We conclude that there is rarely a single moment when all of a patient’s physiological functions stop working at once. This can pose a challenge for determining the exact moment of death.

**How Do Healthcare Providers Feel About Family Presence During Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation?**


The presence of patients’ families during cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is a controversial topic, due to its repercussions for clinical practice. While family members’ presence may help them to overcome their grief, it could be detrimental, as it may cause posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and there is the possibility that family members may interfere with the procedure. For these reasons, families’ presence during CPR has rejected by some healthcare providers.

To research concerns about families’ presence among providers dealing with CPR in the Fundación Hospital Alcorcón (Madrid), I performed this study. Of the 190 providers surveyed, 115 submitted a complete questionnaire. The most frequently reported concerns were interference (78.3 percent of respondents), and PTSD (69.6 percent of respondents). Fewer pediatric providers were concerned about PTSD than other providers (41.2 percent versus 74.5 percent, \(p = 0.01\)). Providers were reluctant to offer families the option of being present unless they had requested it, and would only permit it under certain conditions. Having a staff member to support the family was of great value to most respondents. The author believes families have a negative right to be present during CPR and so should be invited to stay.

**A Patient (Not) Alone**


This case analysis examines questions that arise when an ethically appropriate recommendation initially appears to be in conflict with the legally appropriate recommendation. The case involves a dying, incapacitated octogenarian who had friends who were willing to share her values, but not to make decisions on her behalf. These circumstances put the patient in the unique position of being legally considered a “patient alone,” but who was ethically like a patient with surrogates—distinctions that are crucial when making end-of-life decisions under the New York Family Health Care Decisions Act. A strict interpretation of the law initially seemed to be in conflict with an ethically appropriate outcome. By gaining a deeper understanding of the patient from those who cared about her, however,
and by considering a broader interpretation of the law, an outcome was reached that worked within the framework of the law and honored the patient's reported values.

**The Role of Relational Knowing in Advance Care Planning**


Medical decision making when a patient cannot participate is complicated by the question of whose voice should be heard. The most common answer to this question is that “autonomy” is paramount, and therefore it is the voice of the unwell person that should be given priority. Advance care planning processes and practices seek to capture this sentiment and to allow treatment preferences to be documented and decision makers to be nominated. Despite good intentions, advance care planning is often deficient because it is unable to facilitate a relational approach to decision making in cases when the patient’s competence is reduced. In this article we present findings from a study of the ways in which older people and their significant others understand decision making in such circumstances. Critical to the participants’ understanding was the emergent concept of “relational knowing,” a concept that is poorly articulated in the advance care planning literature. Our findings suggest that the dominant understanding of decision making in conditions of impaired competence is incomplete and obscures much of what matters to people. We conclude that, having recognized a broader set of ethical concerns, it is necessary to develop a relational and narrative based approach that applies in appropriate settings.

**Knowing About Others: On “The Role of Relational Knowing in Advance Care Planning”**


Kate Robins-Browne and her colleagues have written a conceptually daring, empirically grounded article that is rich in scholarship and just conceivably might have a salutary effect on the theory and practice of advance care planning. It is, alas, just as easy to believe that its appreciation will be restricted to like-minded theorists. Writing from a posture of great admiration for this article’s agenda and achievements, I will consider why non-relationally-based understanding of deciding for others are so enduring, and what might be done about that.

**Developing Clinical Ethics Consultation and Committees**

**Structure, Operation, and Experience of Clinical Ethics Consultation 2007-2013: A Report from the Massachusetts General Hospital Optimum Care Committee**


We describe the structure, operation, and experience of the Massachusetts General Hospital ethics committee, formally called the Edwin H. Cassem Optimum Care Committee, from January 2007 through December 2013. Founded in 1974 as one of the nation’s first hospital ethics committees, this committee has primarily focused on the optimum use of life-sustaining treatments. We outline specific sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of consult patients during this period, demographic differences between the adult inpatient population and patients for whom the ethics committee was consulted, and salient features of the consults themselves. We include three case studies that illustrate important consult themes during this period. Our findings expand knowledge about the structure and workings of hospital ethics committees and illustrate how one ethics committee has developed and utilized policies on end-of-life care. More generally, we model a sociological approach to the study of clinical ethics consultation that could be utilized to contextualize institutional practices over time.

**Development of a Clinical Ethics Committee De Novo at a Small Community Hospital by Addressing Needs and Potential Barriers**


Hospital ethics committees are common, but not universal, in small hospitals. A needs assessment was completed at a 155-bed community hospital in order to adapt an academic tertiary center model for a clinical ethics committee to fit the needs of the small hospital community. Of 678 questionnaires distributed, 209 were completed. Data suggested that clinical staff frequently experienced ethical dilemmas. Significantly more nonphysicians indicated that they would utilize a consultation service, if available, compared to physicians ($p = 0.0067$). The data also indicated that the majority of staff (>80 percent) desired more education in clinical ethics. Physicians preferred annual or biannual hospital-wide grand rounds, compared to nonphysicians, who preferred more frequent department-based teaching ($p < 0.001$). The data presented in this article were used to subsequently develop a clinical ethics support committee, the process of which is also described.

**Do Not Resuscitate, with No Surrogate and No Advance Directive: An Ethics Case Study**


Do-not-resuscitate (DNR) orders are typically signed by physicians in conjunction with patients or their surrogate decision makers in order to instruct healthcare providers not to perform cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). Both the medical literature and CPR guidelines fail to address when it is appropriate for physicians to sign DNR orders without any knowledge of a patient’s wishes. We explore the ethical issues surrounding instituting a two-physician
DNR for a dying patient with multiple comorbidities and no medical record on file, no advance directives, and no surrogate decision maker. Through this case we also highlight the issues of poor prognostication and the reversal of a DNR in such circumstances.

**Law**

**Legal Briefing: Unwanted Cesareans and Obstetric Violence**


A capacitated pregnant woman has a nearly unqualified right to refuse a cesarean section. Her right to say “no” takes precedence over clinicians’ preferences and even over clinicians’ concerns about fetal health. Leading medical societies, human rights organizations, and appellate courts have all endorsed this principle. Nevertheless, clinicians continue to limit reproductive liberty by forcing and coercing women to have unwanted cesareans. This “Legal Briefing” reviews recent court cases involving this type of obstetric violence. I have organized these court cases into the following six categories:

1. Epidemic of Unwanted Cesareans
2. Court-Ordered Cesareans
3. Physician-Coerced Cesareans
4. Physician-Ordered Cesareans
5. Cesareans for Incapacitated Patients
6. Cesareans for Patients in a Vegetative State or Who Are Brain Dead
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**Fourteen Important Concepts Regarding Moral Distress**


I suggest that we may want to strive, over time, to change our present professional-cultural view, from one that sees an expression of moral distress as a threat, to a professional-cultural view that welcomes these challenges. Such an effort to better medicine would not only include dissenting clinicians, but patients (and their loved ones) as well.

**Special Section on Moral Distress**

**Harnessing the Promise of Moral Distress: A Call for Re-Orientation**


Despite over three decades of research into the sources and costs of what has become an “epidemic” of moral distress among healthcare professionals, spanning many clinical disciplines and roles, there has been little significant progress in effectively addressing moral distress. We believe the persistent sense of frustration, helplessness, and despair still dominating the clinical moral distress narrative signals a need for re-orientation in the way moral distress is understood and worked with. Most fundamentally, moral distress reveals moral investment and energy. It is the troubled call of conscience, an expression of fidelity to moral commitments seen as imperiled or compromised.

It is crucial that we find ways to empower clinicians in heeding this call—to support clinicians’ moral agency and voice, foster their moral resilience, and facilitate their ability to contribute to needed reform within the organizations and systems in which they work. These objectives must inform creative expansion in the design of strategies for addressing moral distress in the day-to-day of clinical practice. We include suggestions about promising directions such strategies might take in the hope of spurring further innovation within clinical environments.

**Focus More on Causes and Less on Symptoms of Moral Distress**


In this commentary on Carse and Rushton’s call for reorientation of moral distress, we state agreement with the authors that the discourse of moral distress should refocus on the moral components of integrity. We then explain how our philosophical taxonomy of moral distress, mentioned by the authors, appeals to moral integrity. In this process, we clarify our taxonomy’s appeal to Aristotle’s concept of *akrasia*. We conclude by offering support of Carse and Rushton’s challenge to organizations to strengthen moral integrity by fostering resilience.

**Using Moral Distress for Organizational Improvement**


Moral distress is a major problem for nurses, other clinicians, and the health system itself. But if properly understood and responded to, it is also a promising guide for healthcare improvement. When individuals experience moral distress or burnout, their reports must be seen as crucial data requiring careful attention to the individuals and to the organization. Distress and burnout will often point to important opportunities for system improvements, which may in turn reduce the experience of distress. For this potential virtuous cycle to happen, individuals must be able to articulate their concerns without fear of retribution, and organizational leaders must be able to listen in an undensive, improvement-oriented manner.

**Looking at the Positive Side of Moral Distress: Why It’s a Problem**

Moral distress, is, at its core, an organizational problem. It is experienced on a personal level, but its causes originate within the system itself. In this commentary, we argue that moral distress is not inherently good, that effective interventions must address the external sources of moral distress, and that while there is a place for resilience in the healthcare professions, it cannot be an effective antidote to moral distress.

**Moral Distress: Conscious and Unconscious Feelings**

In analyzing moral distress, perhaps greater attention should be given to the possible implicit sources of feelings of distress, as well as explicit sources.

**Features**

*When Not to Rescue: An Ethical Analysis of Best Practices for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiac Care*

It is now a default obligation to provide cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), in the absence of knowledge of a patient’s or surrogate’s wishes to the contrary. We submit that it is time to re-evaluate this position. Attempting CPR should be subject to the same scrutiny demanded of other medical interventions that involve balancing a great benefit against grievous harms.

*A Framework for Ethical Decision Making in the Rehabilitation of Patients with Anosognosia*

Currently, the number of patients diagnosed with impaired self-awareness of their own deficits after brain injury—anosognosia—is increasing. One reason is a growing understanding of this multifaceted phenomenon. Another is the development and accessibility of alternative measurements that allow more detailed diagnoses. Anosognosia can adversely affect successful rehabilitation, as often patients lack confidence in the need for treatment. Planning such treatment can become a complex process full of ethical dilemmas.

To date, there is no systematic way to deal with different aspects of anosognosia rehabilitation planning. This is the first article to present a framework for ethical decision making in establishing rehabilitation plans that are focused on increasing patients’ self-awareness of their own deficits after brain injury. It concentrates especially on addressing the ethical dilemmas that may arise, and describes stepwise procedures that can be applied to distinct theoretical approaches, as well as diagnostic and rehabilitation methods. To show the flexibility of the use of this framework, alternative approaches are discussed.

**Case and Analysis**

*Family Loyalty as a Cultural Obstacle to Good Care: The Case of Mrs. Indira*

What is the responsibility of the physician when a capacitated patient assigns decision-making authority to a surrogate who does not act in the patient’s best interest?

*Complexities in Caregiving: Comforts, Cultures, Countries, Conversations, and Contracts*

As depicted in the case of Mrs. Indira, decision making by patients and surrogates may be complicated by multiple factors, including care, comfort, country, and culture.

**Law**

*Legal Briefing: New Penalties for Ignoring Advance Directives and Do-Not-Resuscitate Orders*

Patients in the United States have been subject to an ever-growing “avalanche” of unwanted medical treatment. This is economically, ethically, and legally wrong. As one advocacy campaign puts it: “Patients should receive the medical treatments they want. Nothing less. Nothing more.” First, unwanted medical treatment constitutes waste (and often fraud or abuse) of scarce healthcare resources. Second, it is a serious violation of patients’ autonomy and self-determination. Third, but for a few rare exceptions, administering unwanted medical treatment contravenes settled legal rules and principles. This “Legal Briefing” describes a central and growing role for the law. Specifically, courts and agencies have increasingly imposed penalties on healthcare providers who deliberately or negligently disregard advance directives and DNR (do-not-resuscitate) orders. I group these legal developments into the following five categories:

1. Five Types of Unwanted Medical Treatment
2. State and Federal Duties to Follow Advance Directives
3. *Doctors Hospital of Augusta v. Alicea*
4. Other Lawsuits for Ignoring Advance Directives
5. Administrative Penalties for Ignoring Advance Directives.
At the Bedside

New Paradigms in Medical Ethics
Edmund G. Howe

As new technologies develop, new ethical paradigms may be needed. This article considers several examples, such as stopping venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO), treating patients who are in a locked-in-like state who have awareness, purposefully deceiving patients who have dementia, meeting the needs of transgender persons, showing loved ones patients’ wounds, and doing research on controlled substances. I suggest that clinicians should identify the practices underlying their value assumptions so they can alter their assumptions when this might improve the care they offer to their patients.

Features

A Survey of Physicians’ Attitudes toward Decision-Making Authority for Initiating and Withdrawing VA-ECMO: Results and Ethical Implications for Shared Decision Making
Ellen C. Meltzer, Natalia S. Ivascu, Meredith Stark, Alexander V. Orfanos, Cathleen A. Acres, Paul J. Christos, Thomas Mangione, and Joseph J. Fins

Objective: Although patients exercise greater autonomy than in the past, and shared decision making is promoted as the preferred model for doctor-patient engagement, tensions still exist in clinical practice about the primary locus of decision-making authority for complex, scarce, and resource-intensive medical therapies: patients and their surrogates, or physicians. We assessed physicians’ attitudes toward decisional authority for adult venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO), hypothesizing they would favor a medical locus.

Design, Setting, Participants: A survey of resident/fellow physicians and internal medicine attendings at an academic medical center, May to August 2013.

Measurements: We used a 24-item, internet-based survey assessing physician-respondents’ demographic characteristics, knowledge, and attitudes regarding decisional authority for adult VA-ECMO. Qualitative narratives were also collected.

Main Results: A total of 179 physicians completed the survey (15 percent response rate); 48 percent attendings and 52 percent residents/fellows. Only 32 percent of the respondents indicated that a surrogate’s consent should be required to discontinue VA-ECMO; 56 percent felt that physicians should have the right to discontinue VA-ECMO over a surrogate’s objection. Those who self-reported as “knowledgeable” about VA-ECMO, compared to those who did not, more frequently replied that there should not be presumed consent for VA-ECMO (47.6 percent versus 33.3 percent, \( p = 0.007 \)), that physicians should have the right to discontinue VA-ECMO over a surrogate’s objection (76.2 percent versus 50 percent, \( p = 0.02 \)) and that, given its cost, the use of VA-ECMO should be restricted (81.0 percent versus 54.4 percent, \( p = 0.005 \)).

Conclusions: Surveyed physicians, especially those who self-reported as knowledgeable about VA-ECMO and/or were specialists in pulmonary/critical care, favored a medical locus of decisional authority for VA-ECMO. VA-ECMO is complex, and the data may (1) reflect physicians’ hesitance to cede authority to presumably less knowledgeable patients and surrogates, (2) stem from a stewardship of resources perspective, and/or (3) point to practical efforts to avoid futility and utility disputes. Whether these results indicate a more widespread reversion to paternalism or a more circumscribed usurping of decisional authority occasioned by VA-ECMO necessitates further study.

Covert Administration of Medication to Persons with Dementia: Exploring Ethical Dimensions
Jenny M. Young and David Unger

The literature, although sparse, reports that covert administration of all types of medications is prevalent in nursing homes. Whether it is ever ethically defensible, however, to administer medications covertly to persons with significant dementia is a complex and contentious question. Some scholars contend that deception is inherently wrong and is never acceptable, while others believe that deception is intrinsic to providing care to persons with dementia. With an aim to begin to reconcile these polarized positions and to objectively study this contentious issue, the authors undertake an ethical analysis of the covert administration of medications by utilizing the principles of respect for autonomy, nonmaleficence, beneficence, and justice. Our approach examines covert administration within the context of all persons with significant dementia who are administered medications, and is aimed at providing ethical and practical guidance to clinicians who, when confronted with a patient who refuses medication, must choose the "least bad" option from among various courses of action, all of which have ethical implications. Components of a possible guideline for practice are proposed.

Covert Medications: Act of Compassion or Conspiracy of Silence?
Robert C. Macauley

As the population in the United States gets older, more people suffer from dementia, which often causes neuropsychiatric symptoms such as agitation and paranoia. This can lead patients to refuse medications, prompting consideration of covert administration (that is, concealing medication in food or drink). While many condemn this practice as paternalistic, deceptive, and potentially harmful, the end result of assuming the "moral high ground" can be increased suffering for patients and families. This article addresses common criticisms of covert medication and presents a detailed algorithm by which to determine whether the practice is ethically permissible in specific cases. It
also explores why so little attention has been paid in the U.S. to this presumably common practice, and reviews professional statements from Europe that endorse the practice. Finally, it presents a compelling argument for the role of Ulysses clauses in advance care planning, not only for patients with psychiatric illness but also for those who may suffer from dementia, which is far more common.

**Stakeholders’ Views on Barriers to Research on Controversial Controlled Substances**
Evelyn Rhodes, Michael Andreae, Tyler Bourjoise, Debbie Indyk, Rosamond Rhodes, and Henry Sacks

Many diseases and disease symptoms still lack effective treatment. At the same time, certain controversial Schedule I drugs, such as heroin and cannabis, have been reputed to have considerable therapeutic potential for addressing significant medical problems. Yet, there is a paucity of U.S. clinical studies on the therapeutic uses of controlled drugs. For example, people living with HIV/AIDS experience a variety of disease- and medication-related symptoms. Their chronic pain is intense, frequent, and difficult to treat. Nevertheless, clinical trials of compassionate management for their chronic symptoms, which should be a research priority, are stymied.

We employed qualitative methods to develop an understanding of the barriers to research on potential therapeutic uses of Schedule I drugs so that they might be addressed. We elicited the perspectives of key stakeholder groups that would be involved in such studies: people living with HIV/AIDS, clinicians, and members of institutional review boards. As we identified obstacles to research, we found that all of the stakeholder groups arrived at the same conclusion, that clinical research on the therapeutic potential of these drugs is ethically required.

**The Evolution of American Hospital Ethics Committees: A Systematic Review**
Andrew Courtwright and Martha Jurchak

During the 1970s and 1980s, legal precedent, governmental recommendations, and professional society guidelines drove the formation of hospital ethics committees (HECs). The Joint Commission on Accreditation of Health Care Organization’s requirements in the early 1990s solidified the role of HECs as the primary mechanism to address ethical issues in patient care. Because external factors drove the rapid growth of HECs on an institution-by-institution basis, however, no initial consensus formed around the structure and function of these committees. There are now almost 40 years of empirical studies on the composition, administration, and activities of HECs in the United States. We conducted a systematic review of the available empirical literature on HECs to describe their evolution. As HECs changed over time, they increased their total number of members and percentage of members from nursing and the community. Although physicians increasingly chaired these committees, their presence as a percentage of overall members declined. The percentage of administrative members remained steady, although committees became increasingly likely to have at least one administrative member. HECs were also increasingly likely to report to an administrative body or to the board of trustees or directors rather than to the medical staff. Finally, consultation volume increased steadily over time. There has not, however, been a national survey of the composition of ethics committees, their administration, or volume of consultation in more than 10 years, despite increasing calls for professional standards and quality improvement assessments among HECs.

**Cultivating Administrative Support for a Clinical Ethics Consultation Service**
Courtenay R. Bruce, Mary A. Majumder, Ashley Stephens, Janet Malek, and Amy McGuire

Hospital administrators may lack familiarity with what clinical ethicists do (and do not do), and many clinical ethicists report receiving inadequate financial support for their clinical ethics consultation services (CECSs). Ethics consultation is distinct in that it is not reimbursable by third parties, and its financial benefit to the hospital may not be quantifiable. These peculiarities make it difficult for clinical ethicists to resort to tried-and-true outcome-centered evaluative strategies, like cost reduction or shortened length of stay for patients, to show a “need” for ethics consultation. Likewise, it can be difficult for clinical ethicists to “speak the same language” as healthcare administrators and managers, which, in turn, means that CECSs run the risk of being unable to demonstrate value to those who pay for the service.

The purpose of this descriptive article is to provide practical guidance to clinical ethicists and program directors on how to cultivate administrative support for a CECS. Specifically, we discuss two elements that clinical ethics leaders must critically appraise and successfully argue to meet the expectations of administrators—the value of a CECS and its fit in clinical workflow.

**Cases from the Cleveland Clinical Foundation**

**What’s Knowledge Got to Do with It? Ethics, Epistemology, and Intractable Conflicts in the Medical Setting**
Bryan Kibbe and Paul J. Ford

This article utilizes the case of Ms. H. to examine the contrasting ways that surrogate decision makers move from simply hearing information about the patient to actually knowing and understanding the patient’s medical condition. The focus of the case is on a family’s request to actually see the patient’s wounds instead of being told about the wounds, and the role of clinical ethicists in facilitating this request. We argue that clinical ethicists have an important role to play in the work of converting information into knowledge and that this can serve as a valuable way forward in the midst of seemingly intractable conflicts in the medical setting.

**Perspective**
Medical Futility: A Contemporary Review

Ellen Coonan

As medical technology has advanced, the question of medical futility has become a topic of intense debate both within the medical community and within society as a whole. However, a unanimous definition thereof is yet to be decided—some commentators are sceptical as to whether an agreement will ever be reached—and this continues to lead to difficulties, tension, and even legal action when a treating physician disagrees with a patient and/or a patient’s family regarding care and treatment options. Although living in a pluralistic society presents one of the major reasons as to why, despite 30 years of intense discussion, no consensus has been made; the issue of medical futility will always be complex as it is, by nature, multifaceted, and numerous elements—including possible risks, evidence of the probability of benefit, the wishes of the patient (and family), professional standards, and cost—interact. Nevertheless, the global medical community has seen the development of two distinctly different approaches to medical futility: one in which the autonomy of patients is of paramount importance in the decision whether or not to pursue a treatment; and one in which beneficence and *primum non nocere*—first do no harm—are almost entirely the clinician’s prerogative, and whereby he/she has a duty to refuse any treatment for which the potential risks outweigh the potential benefits for the patient. Recently, however, there has been a rejection of this dichotomous view of medical futility and the apparent “power struggle” between physician and patient, and a positive movement towards a more collaborative decision-making process that highlights the necessity of communication, aiming to result in the obtaining of the best possible outcome for each patient as an individual.

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 27, Number 3, Fall 2016

At the Bedside

Harmful Emotional Responses that Patients and Physicians May Have When their Values Conflict

Edmund G. Howe

One of the most difficult decisions a clinician may face is when, if ever, to decline what a patient wants, based on the clinician’s own moral conscience. Regardless of what the clinician decides, the outcome may be deeply emotionally painful for both parties, and the pain may last. I will discuss this pain, how it occurs, and what we can do to try to reduce it before, during, and after a conflict arises. Approaches include explaining how we are like the patient or doctor, that no one is perfect, and that what we do is not who we are.

Features

Moral Agency, Moral Imagination, and Moral Community: Antidotes to Moral Distress

Terri Traudt, Joan Liaschenko, and Cynthia Peden-McAlpine

Moral distress has been covered extensively in the nursing literature and increasingly in the literature of other health professions. Cases that cause nurses’ moral distress that are mentioned most frequently are those concerned with prolonging the dying process. Given the standard of aggressive treatment that is typical in intensive care units (ICUs), much of the existing moral distress research focuses on the experiences of critical care nurses. However, moral distress does not automatically occur in all end-of-life circumstances, nor does every critical care nurse suffer its damaging effects. What are the practices of these nurses? What specifically do they do to navigate around or through the distressing situations? The nursing literature is lacking an answer to these questions. This article reports a study that used narrative analysis to explore the reported practices of experienced critical care nurses who are skilled at and comfortable working with families and physicians regarding the withdrawal of aggressive treatment. A major finding was that these nurses did not report experiencing the damaging effects of moral distress as described in the nursing literature. The verbal communication and stated practices relevant to this finding are organized under three major themes: (1) moral agency, (2) moral imagination, and (3) moral community. Further, a total of eight subthemes are identified. The practices that constitute these themes and subthemes are further detailed and discussed in this article. Understanding these practices can help mitigate critical care nurses’ moral distress.

Towards a New Narrative of Moral Distress: Realizing the Potential of Resilience

Cynda Hylton Rushton and Alisa Carse

Terri Traudt, Joan Liaschenko, and Cynthia Peden-McAlpine’s study contributes to a much-needed reorientation in thinking about and working with the challenges of moral distress. In providing a vital example of nurses able to navigate morally distressing situations in positive and constructive ways, and offering an analysis of the component elements of these nurses’ success, the study helps identify promising directions we might take in addressing the epidemic of moral distress. It also invites important questions, concerning the challenges faced by clinicians who do not who work in healthy “moral communities,” who lack the ethical competencies, and who don’t have the presumptive authority and recognition enjoyed by the seasoned clinicians studied here. We explore some of these questions, and suggest ways we might build on the insights of Traudt and colleagues’ study.

Special Section: Physicians’ Exercise of Conscience: Commentaries on the AMA’s Code of Medical Ethics

Report by the American Medical Association’s Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs on Physicians’ Exercise of Conscience
BJ Crigger, Patrick W. McCormick, Stephen L. Brotherton, and Valarie Blake

As practicing clinicians, physicians are expected to uphold the ethical norms of their profession, including fidelity to patients and respect for patients’ self-determination. At the same time, as individuals, physicians are moral agents in their own right and, like their patients, are informed by and committed to diverse cultural, religious, and philosophical traditions and beliefs. In some circumstances, the expectation that physicians will put patients’ needs and preferences first may be in tension with the need to sustain the sense of moral integrity and continuity that grounds a physician’s personal and professional life.

This article examines the implications for patients, physicians, and the medical profession when tensions arise between a physician’s professional commitments and his or her deeply held personal moral beliefs. It offers guidance on when a physician’s professional commitments should outweigh personal beliefs as well as when physicians should have freedom to act according to the dictates of conscience while still protecting patients’ interests.

Accommodating Conscientious Objection in Medicine—Private Ideological Convictions Must Not Trump Professional Obligations
Udo Schuklenk

The opinion of the American Medical Association (AMA) Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs (CEJA) on the accommodation of conscientious objectors among medical doctors aims to balance fairly patients’ rights of access to care and accommodating doctors’ deeply held personal beliefs. Like similar documents, it fails. Patients will not find it persuasive, and neither should they. The lines drawn aim at a reasonable compromise between positions that are not amenable to compromise. They are also largely arbitrary. This article explains why that is the case. The view that conscientious objection accommodation has no place in modern medicine is defended.

Professional Ethics, Personal Conscience, and Public Expectations
Claudia E. Haupt

Examining to what extent physicians are, or ought to be, defined by the profession when giving advice to patients, this commentary seeks to offer a better understanding of the potential conflicts that the American Medical Association’s (AMA’s) “Opinion 1.1.7, Physician Exercise of Conscience,” addresses. This commentary conceptualizes the professions as knowledge communities, and situates the physician-patient relationship within this larger conceptual framework. So doing, it sheds light on how and when specialized knowledge is operationalized in professional advice-giving. Physicians communicate the knowledge community’s insights to the patient. Thus, departures from professional knowledge as a matter of the professional’s personal conscience are appropriately circumscribed by the knowledge community.

Who Judges Harm?
Nadia N. Sawicki

The American Medical Association’s (AMA’s) "Opinion 1.1.7, Physician Exercise of Conscience" attempts to help physicians strike a reasonable balance between their own conscientious beliefs and their patients’ medical interests in an effort to minimize harms to both. However, some ambiguity still remains as to whether the severity of harms experienced by physicians and patients is to be assessed externally (by policy makers or by a professional body like the AMA), or internally by the subjects of those harms. Conflicts between conscientious physicians’ self-assessments of the moral harm associated with various actions and the AMA’s external assessments of such harms are likely to lead to challenges in the implementation of some provisions of its opinion. This commentary argues, however, that provisions (b) and (e) of the opinion, which describe the information physicians should provide about their own scope of practice and about the existence of controversial procedures, are less likely to conflict with physicians’ subjective assessments of moral harm, and therefore will face fewer challenges in implementation.

Action Steps and Solutions for Physicians’ Exercise of Conscience
Eliza Blanchard and Lynn Stoller

Conscience can influence physicians’ interactions with patients in myriad ways and, by extension, can influence the interactions and internal dynamics of a health care team. The AMA’s opinion around physicians’ exercise of conscience appropriately balance the obligations physicians have to their patients and profession, and the rights of physicians as moral agents to exercise their conscience. While the opinion is an effective starting point, further guidance is necessary to clarify the process by which physicians should identify, manage, and, if necessary, report their conscientious refusals to patients, supervisors, or colleagues. In addition to laying out a proposed process for identifying and managing issues of conscience, this article will use relevant and timely examples to help clarify how a physician could apply this process in an instance of conscientious refusal.

Conscientious Objection: Widening the Temporal and Organizational Horizons
Armand H. Matheny Antommaria

Conscience can influence physicians’ interactions with patients in myriad ways and, by extension, can influence the interactions and internal dynamics of a health care team. The AMA’s opinion around physicians’ exercise of conscience appropriately balance the obligations physicians have to their patients and profession, and the rights of physicians as moral agents to exercise their conscience. While the opinion is an effective starting point, further guidance is necessary to clarify the process by which physicians should identify, manage, and, if necessary, report their conscientious refusals to patients, supervisors, or colleagues. In addition to laying out a proposed process for identifying and managing issues of conscience, this article will use relevant and timely examples to help clarify how a physician could apply this process in an instance of conscientious refusal.
their conscientious refusals to patients, supervisors, or colleagues. In addition to laying out a proposed process for identifying and managing issues of conscience, this article will use relevant and timely examples to help clarify how a physician could apply this process in an instance of conscientious refusal.

**Thinking about Conscience**

**BJ Crigger**

The path to consensus about physicians’ exercise of conscience was not linear. It looped back on itself as new insights illuminated earlier deliberations and in turn led to further insights. In particular, coming to agreement about physicians’ responsibility in regard to referral charted a route through many course corrections.

**Law**

**Legal Briefing: Stopping Nonbeneficial Life-Sustaining Treatment without Consent**

**Thaddeus Mason Pope and Kristin Kemmerling**

In the United States, authoritative legal guidance remains sparse on whether or when clinicians may stop life-sustaining treatment without consent. Fortunately, several significant legislative and judicial developments over the past two years offer some clarity. We group these legal developments into the following seven categories:

1. Lawsuits for Damages
2. Amendments to the Texas Advance Directives Act
3. Constitutional Attack on TADA
4. Legislation Prohibiting Clinicians
5. Legislation Authorizing Clinicians
6. Cases from Canada
7. Cases from the United Kingdom.
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**What Do We Owe Medical Students and Medical Colleagues Who Are Impaired?**

**Edmund G. Howe**

Physicians who are impaired, engage in unprofessional behavior, or violate laws may be barred from further practice. Likewise, medical students may be dismissed from medical school for many infractions, large and small. The welfare of patients and the general public must be our first priority, but when we assess physicians and students who have erred, we should seek to respond as caringly and fairly as possible. This piece will explore how we may do this at all stages of the proceedings physicians and students may encounter. This may include helping them to resume their medical careers if and when this would be sufficiently safe and beneficial for patients.

**Features**

**Untangling Uncertainty: A Study of the Discourses Shaping Clinical Ethics Consultation as a Professional Practice**

**Salla Saxén**

This qualitative social scientific interview study delves into the ways in which professional vision is constructed in clinical ethics consultation (CEC). The data consist of 11 semi-structured interviews that were conducted with clinical ethics consultants currently working in hospitals in one major urban area in the U.S. The interviews were analyzed with the qualitative research method of critical discourse analysis, with a focus on identifying the cultural structures of knowledge that shape CEC as a professional practice. The discourses were first identified as belonging to two higher discourse categories, order and agency. Order was divided into three lower categories, emotional, managerial, and rational order, and discourses of agency into the lower categories of exploration, technique, deliberation, and distancing. An additional discourse of neutral interaction was identified as a bridging discourse, activated to level tensions emerging out of conflicting goals and agencies embedded in CEC practice. This analysis brings out as its main observation that clinical ethics consultants draw on and shift between potentially ideologically conflicting social positions that can create built-in tensions within the professional domain. The study calls attention to these tensions and suggests for the professional group to discuss the possibility of defining priorities between different kinds of order, identified in this study, that shape the CEC domain.

**How Can Clinical Ethics Committees Take on Organizational Ethics? Some Practical Suggestions**

**James E. Sabin**

Although leaders in the field of ethics have for many years pointed to the crucial role that organizations play in shaping healthcare ethics, organizational ethics remains a relatively undeveloped area of ethics activity. Clinical ethics committees are an important source of potential expertise, but new skills will be required. Clinical ethics committees seeking to extend their purview to organizational issues will have to respond to three challenges—how to gain sanction and support for addressing controversial and sensitive issues, how to develop an acceptable process, and how to make a difference on the ground. The article presents practical suggestions for how clinical ethics committees meet these challenges.

**What Is Everyday Ethics? A Review and a Proposal for an Integrative Concept**
“Everyday ethics” is a term that has been used in the clinical and ethics literature for decades to designate normatively important and pervasive issues in healthcare. In spite of its importance, the term has not been reviewed and analyzed carefully. We undertook a literature review to understand how the term has been employed and defined, finding that it is often contrasted to “dramatic ethics.” We identified the core attributes most commonly associated with everyday ethics. We then propose an integrative model of everyday ethics that builds on the contribution of different ethical theories. This model proposes that the function of everyday ethics is to serve as an integrative concept that (1) helps to detect current blind spots in bioethics (that is, shifts the focus from dramatic ethics) and (2) mobilizes moral agents to address these shortcomings of ethical insight. This novel integrative model has theoretical, methodological, practical, and pedagogical implications, which we explore. Because of the pivotal role that moral experience plays in this integrative model, the model could help to bridge empirical ethics research with more conceptual and normative work.

**Medical Education and Professionalism**

**The Medical Ethics Curriculum in Medical Schools: Present and Future**

Alberto Giubilini, Sharyn Milnes, and Julian Savulescu

In this review article we describe the current scope, methods, and contents of medical ethics education in medical schools in Western English speaking countries (mainly the United Kingdom, the United States, and Australia). We assess the strengths and weaknesses of current medical ethics curricula, and students’ levels of satisfaction with different teaching approaches and their reported difficulties in learning medical ethics concepts and applying them in clinical practice. We identify three main challenges for medical ethics education: counteracting the bad effects of the “hidden curriculum,” teaching students how to apply ethical knowledge and critical thinking to real cases in clinical practice, and shaping future doctors’ right character through ethics education. We suggest ways in which these challenges could be addressed. On the basis of this analysis, we propose practical guidelines for designing, implementing, teaching, and assessing a medical ethics program within a four-year medical course.

**Medical Boards and Fitness to Practice: The Case of Teleka Patrick, MD**

Katrina A. Bramstedt

**Background:** Medical boards and fitness-to-practice committees aim to ensure that medical students and physicians have "good moral character" and are not impaired in their practice of medicine.

**Method:** Presented here is an ethical analysis of stalking behavior by physicians and medical students, with focus on the case of Teleka Patrick, MD (a psychiatry resident practicing medicine while under a restraining order due to her alleged stalking behavior).

**Conclusions:** While a restraining order is not generally considered a criminal conviction, stalking behavior is clearly unprofessional and a marker of inappropriate character and fitness, yet the reporting obligations for such matters are complex. Medical schools and training programs that fail to assess, record, and report matters of moral conduct such as this potentially allow impaired students to graduate and enter the work force (unless a robust licensing process identifies them). Patrick’s case should be a wake-up call for medical schools and medical boards to better integrate the professionalism domain into their operations. Further, the professionalism of students and doctors need to be integrated into the legal domain, so that those who are unfit to practice are, in fact, prevented from doing so. Guidance for integration is provided.

**Clinical Ethics Consultation**

**Gender and Race in the Timing of Requests for Ethics Consultations: A Single-Center Study**

Bethany Spielman, Christine Gorka, Keith Miller, Carolyn A. Pointer, and Barbara Hinze

**Background:** Clinical ethics consultants are expected to “reduce disparities, discrimination, and inequities when providing consultations,” but few studies about inequities in ethics consultation exist. The objectives of this study were (1) to determine if there were racial or gender differences in the timing of requests for ethics consultations related to limiting treatment, and (2) if such differences were found, to identify factors associated with that difference and the role, if any, of ethics consultants in mitigating them.

**Methods:** The study was a mixed methods retrospective study of consultation summaries and hospital and ethics center data on 56 age-and gender-matched Caucasian and African American Medicare patients who received ethics consultations related to issues around limiting medical treatment in the period 2011 to 2014. The average age of patients was 70.9.

**Results:** Consultation requests for females were made significantly earlier in their stays in the hospital (6.57 days) than were consultation requests made for males (16.07 days). For African American patients, the differences in admission-to-request intervals for female patients (5.93 days) and male patients (18.64 days) were greater than for Caucasian male and female patients. Differences in the timing of a consultation were not significantly correlated with the presence of an advance directive, the specialty of the attending physician, or the reasons for the consult request. Ethics consultants may have mitigated problems that developed during the lag in request times for African American males by spending more time, on average, on those consultations (316 minutes), especially more time, on average, than on consultations with Caucasian females (195 minutes). Most consultations (40 of 56) did result in movement toward limiting treatment, but no statistically significant differences were found among the groups studied in the
movement toward limiting treatment. The average number of days from consult to discharge or death were strongly correlated with the intervals between admission to the hospital and request for an ethics consultation.

**Conclusion:** Our findings suggest race and gender disparities in the timing of ethics consultations that consultants may have partially mitigated.

**Measuring Quality in Ethics Consultation**
*Sally E. Bliss, Jane E. Oppenlander, Jacob M. Dahlke, Gordon J. Meyer, Eva M. Williford, and Robert C. Macauley*

For all of the emphasis on quality improvement—as well as the acknowledged overlap between assessment of the quality of healthcare services and clinical ethics—the quality of clinical ethics consultation has received scant attention, especially in terms of empirical measurement. Recognizing this need, the second edition of *Core Competencies for Health Care Ethics Consultation* identified four domains of ethics quality: (1) ethicality, (2) stakeholders’ satisfaction, (3) resolution of the presenting conflict/dilemma, and (4) education that translates into knowledge. This study is the first, to our knowledge, to directly measure all of these domains. Here we describe the quality improvement process undertaken at a tertiary care academic medical center, as well as the tools developed to measure the quality of ethics consultation, which include post-consultation satisfaction surveys and weekly case conferences. The information gained through these tools helps to improve not only the process of ethics consultation, but also the measurement and assurance of quality.

**Defining Patient Advocacy for the Context of Clinical Ethics Consultation: A Review of the Literature and Recommendations for Consultants**
*Tracy Brazg, Taryn Lindhorst, Denise Dudzinski, and Benjamin Wilfond*

The idea of patient advocacy as a function of clinical ethics consultation (CEC) has been debated in the bioethics literature. In particular, opinion is divided as to whether patient advocacy inherently is in conflict with the other duties of the ethics consultant, especially that of impartial mediator. The debate is complicated, however, because patient advocacy is not uniformly conceptualized. This article examines two literatures that are crucial to understanding patient advocacy in the context of bioethical deliberations: the CEC literature and the literature on advocacy in the social work profession. A review of this literature identifies four distinct approaches to patient advocacy that are relevant to CEC: (1) the best interest approach, (2) the patient rights approach, (3) the representational approach, and (4) the empowerment approach. After providing a clearer understanding of the varied meanings of patient advocacy in the context of CEC, we assert that patient advocacy is not inherently inconsistent with the function of the ethics consultant and the CEC process. Finally, we provide a framework to help consultants determine if they should adopt an advocacy role.
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**How Clinicians Can Reduce "Bullied Acquiescence"**
*Edmund G. Howe*

Clinicians and patients and their families may disagree about a course of treatment, and the ensuing conflict may seem intractable. The parties may request mediation, or use mediation-based approaches, to help resolve the conflict. In the process of mediation, and at other times, parties in conflict may feel so pressured to accept a resolution that they acquiesce unwillingly—and such resolutions often unravel. In this article I investigate how “bullied acquiescence” might happen, and how to avoid it.

**Features**

**The Effectiveness of Standardized Patient Simulation in Training Hospital Ethics Committees**
*David Y. Harari and Robert C. Macauley*

Clinical simulation using standardized patients has become standard in medical education—and is now being incorporated into some graduate programs in bioethics—for both formative and summative evaluation. In most hospitals, though, clinical ethics consultation is done by the ethics committee (or a subset of it). This study is the first, to our knowledge, to examine the effectiveness of standardized patient simulation in training hospital ethics committees to deal with ethically complex and emotionally fraught clinical situations. Following a substantial revision of the institution’s nonbeneficial treatment policy, ethics committee members underwent a simulation to determine whether a specific requested treatment should be withheld on the basis of futility. Pre- and post-intervention surveys showed improvement in all domains, although the small sample size limited the power of the study, with only one measure showing a statistically significant difference. An interesting incidental finding was that one-quarter of committee members voted against a determination of futility, even though the case clearly met the definition set forth in the policy. This highlights the emotional challenges in implementing an ethically rigorous, unanimously accepted policy that ultimately determines the timing and manner of a patient’s death.

**Clinical Recommendations in Medical Practice: A Proposed Framework to Reduce Bias and Improve the Quality of Medical Decisions**
*David Alfandre*
Patients rely on, benefit from, and are strongly influenced by physicians’ recommendations. In spite of the centrality and importance of physicians’ recommendations to clinical care, there is only a scant literature describing the conceptual process of forming a clinical recommendation, and no discrete professional standards for making individual clinical recommendations. Evidence-based medicine and shared decision making together are intended to improve medical decision making, but there has been limited attention to how a recommendation is discretely formulated from either of those processes or how patients’ preferences ought to be considered and how much weight they should hold. Moreover, physicians’ bias has been reported to strongly influence how a recommendation is derived, thereby undermining the quality of healthcare decisions and patients’ trust. To demonstrate a potential for improving the quality of decisions, this article proposes a conceptual framework for how physicians should reach a clinical recommendation and apply the process in practice. For preference-sensitive clinical decisions—that is, clinical decisions when patients’ values and preferences are relevant—the process for reaching a recommendation should be transparent to patients and should be based solely on the medical evidence and patients’ values and preferences. When patients’ preferences for care do not prioritize health, physicians decide whether their recommendation will prioritize a welfare-enhancing versus an autonomy-enhancing approach. When there are gaps in understanding how physicians derive their clinical recommendations and how to further improve the quality of the decisions, the author calls for further empiric research.

**The Role of Communication and Interpersonal Skills in Clinical Ethics Consultation: The Need for a Competency in Advanced Ethics Facilitation**
Wayne Shelton, Cynthia Geppert, and Jane Jankowski

Clinical ethics consultants (CECs) often face some of the most difficult communication and interpersonal challenges that occur in hospitals, involving stressed stakeholders who express, with strong emotions, their preferences and concerns in situations of personal crisis and loss. In this article we will give examples of how much of the important work that ethics consultants perform in addressing clinical ethics conflicts is incompletely conceived and explained in the American Society of Bioethics and Humanities Core Competencies for Healthcare Ethics Consultation and the clinical ethics literature.

The work to which we refer is best conceptualized as a specialized type of interviewing, in which the emotional barriers of patients and their families or surrogates can be identified and addressed in light of relevant ethical obligations and values within the context of ethics facilitation.

**A Case of Attempted Suicide in Huntington’s Disease: Ethical and Moral Considerations**
Kristin Furfari, Nichole Zehnder, and Jean Abbott

A 62-year-old female with Huntington’s disease presented after a suicide attempt. Her advance directive stated that she did not want intubation or resuscitation, which her family acknowledged and supported. Despite these directives, she was resuscitated in the emergency department and continued to state that she would attempt suicide again. Her suicidality in the face of a chronic and advancing illness, and her prolonged consistency in her desire to take her own life, left careproviders wondering how to provide ethical, respectful care to this patient.

Tension between the ethical principles of autonomy and beneficence is central in this case. The patient’s narrative demonstrated that her suicide was an autonomous decision, free from coercion or disordered thinking from mental illness. Beneficence then would seem to necessitate care aligned with the patient’s desire to end her life, which created ethical uneasiness for her family and careproviders.

The case highlights several end-of-life ethical considerations that have received much recent attention. With ongoing discussions about the legalization of aid in dying across the country, caregivers are challenged to understand what beneficence means in people with terminal illnesses who want a say in their death. This case also highlights the profound moral distress of families and careproviders that arises in such ethically challenging scenarios.

**Mediation**

**Story of a Mediation in the Clinical Setting**
Haavi Morreim

Conflicts in the clinical setting can spiral downward with remarkable speed, as parties become ever more incensed and entrenched in their positions. Productive conversations seem unlikely at best. Nevertheless, such situations can sometimes be turned into collaborative problem solving with equally remarkable speed. For this to happen, those providing conflict-resolution services such as mediation need to bring, not just a set of skills, but also some key norms: the process must be voluntary for all; the mediator must abjure giving advice or taking sides, and must honor the privacy of privately offered thoughts.

This article describes a conflict that had reached the point of a hospital’s requesting judicial coercion. However, a conflict-resolution process was then initiated that, in the end, led to amicable resolution and mended relationships, obviating the need for court orders. This article describes that conflict and the resolution process in detail, along the way annotating specific strategies that are often highly effective.

**Cases from MedStar Washington Hospital Center**

**The Case of Ms D: A Family’s Request for Posthumous Procurement of Ovaries**
Laura Guidry-Grimes
The MedStar Washington Hospital Center clinical ethics team became involved in a case when the family requested the posthumous removal of a patient’s ovaries for future reproductive use. This case presents a novel question for clinical ethicists, since the technology for posthumous female reproduction is still in development. In the bioethics literature, the standard position is to refuse to comply with such a request, unless there is explicit consent or evidence of explicit conversations that demonstrate the deceased would have wanted this option pursued. Ms D's case, we suggest, offers an exception to this default position; complying with the family’s request could have been ethically permissible in this case, had it been medically feasible.

**Analysis: OB/GYN-Genetics**
Melissa Fries

Ovarian salvage from a patient with brain death is not available and will not preserve viable ova for future reproduction. Previous interest in assisted reproductive technology is only the first step in this process, which requires careful assessment of maternal risks and potential for recurrent genetic disease.

**Analysis: Fertility Preservation**
Veronica Gómez-Lobo

This commentary considers the viability of ovarian tissue cryopreservation (OTC) in the case of an adult who qualified for brain death. Although there has been some success with OTC in achieving pregnancy when the tissue is reimplanted in the original donor, attempting OTC in the case under discussion would have not been medically feasible.

**Analysis: A Legal Perspective**
Jack Schwartz

This commentary summarizes the uncertain state of the law regarding consent for posthumous gamete retrieval. The emergence of a legal framework will be aided by the kind of ethical analysis prompted by this family’s request for removal and preservation of a deceased patient’s ovaries.

**Perspectives**

**Ethical Considerations of Whole-Eye Transplantation**
Wesley N. Sivak, Edward H. Davidson, Chiaki Komatsu, Yang Li, Maxine R. Miller, Joel S. Schuman, Mario G. Solari, Gerard Magill, and Xia M. Washington

Whole eye transplantation (WET) remains experimental. Long presumed impossible, recent scientific advances regarding WET suggest that it may become a clinical reality. However, the ethical implications of WET as an experimental therapeutic strategy remain largely unexplored. This article evaluates the ethical considerations surrounding WET as an emerging experimental treatment for vision loss. A thorough review of published literature pertaining to WET was performed; ethical issues were identified during review of the articles.

**Let’s Not Forget about Clinical Ethics Committees!**
Franco A. Carnevale

The aim of this article is to highlight the under-recognized merits of clinical ethics committees (CECs), to help ensure that the development of roles for clinical ethics consultants do not unwittingly compromise the valuable contributions that CECs can continue to provide.

I argue that CECs can offer distinctive contributions to the clinical ethics consultation process that can complement and enrich the input provided by a clinical ethics consultant. These distinctions and complementarities should be further examined and developed. This will help to optimize the synergistic contributions that CECs and clinical ethics consultants can make to promote the ethical treatment of patients and their families.

**Moving Clinical Deliberations on Administrative Discharge in Drug Addiction Treatment Beyond Moral Rhetoric to Empirical Ethics**
Izaak L. Williams

Patients’ admission to modern substance use disorder treatment comes with the attendant risk of being discharged from treatment—a widespread practice. This article describes the three mainstream theories of addiction that operate as a reference point for clinicians in reasoning about a decision to discharge a patient from treatment. The extant literature is reviewed to highlight the pathways that patients follow after administrative discharge. Little scientific research has been done to investigate claims and hypotheses about the therapeutic function of AD, which points to the need for empirical ethics to inform clinical addictions practice.

**Law**

**Legal Briefing: Mandated Reporters and Compulsory Reporting Duties**
Thaddeus Mason Pope

This issue’s “Legal Briefing” column, one product of a Greenwall Foundation grant, reviews recent developments concerning compulsory reporting duties. Most licensed clinicians in the United States are “mandated reporters.” When these clinicians discover certain threats to the safety of patients or the public, they are legally required to report that information to specified government officials. Over the past year, several states have legislatively expanded the scope of these reporting duties. In other states, new court cases illustrate the vigorous enforcement of already existing duties. I have organized all these legal developments into the following eight categories:
1. Overview of Mandatory Reporting Duties
2. Controversy over the Benefits of Mandatory Reporting
3. New and Expanded Duties to Report
4. Criminal Penalties for Failing to Report
5. Civil Liability for Failing to Report
6. Disciplinary Penalties for Failing to Report
7. Legal Immunity for Good-Faith Reporting
8. Protection against Employers’ Retaliation
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When a child is born with or acquires special needs, the parents may find some parental tasks more difficult. They may not know how to make their tasks easier, or that some parents find it exceptionally rewarding and meaningful to raise their children with special needs. This piece explores how clinicians might share this potentially life-altering information. It also explores when and why clinicians might want to make one-of-a-kind exceptions to their usual professional practices.

A Quality of Life Quandary: A Framework for Navigating Parental Refusal of Treatment for Co-Morbidities in Infants with Underlying Medical Conditions

Sarah N. Kunz, Ryan M. McAdams, Douglas S. Diekema, and Douglas J. Opel

Parental refusal of a recommended treatment is not an uncommon scenario in the neonatal intensive care unit. These refusals may be based upon the parents’ perceptions of their child’s projected quality of life. The inherent subjectivity of quality of life assessments, however, can exacerbate disagreement between parents and healthcare providers. We present a case of parental refusal of surgical intervention for necrotizing enterocolitis in an infant with Bartter syndrome and develop an ethical framework in which to consider the appropriateness of parental refusal based upon an infant’s projected quality of life.

Witnesses to Mute Suffering: Quality of Life, Intellectual Disability, and the Harm Standard

Lisa C. Freitag

Decisions to override a parental request to withhold or withdraw treatment in the neonatal intensive care unit are often made based on the harm standard, with death being cast as the ultimate harm. However, often the treatment itself is not without harm, and the suffering engendered is undergone by an infant who is neither able to understand it nor express its presence. We can draw upon anticipated future quality of life to justify the present suffering, but are in a quandary when that future is not guaranteed or is likely to hold little but further suffering. I propose that conflicts over continuing treatment are based both on disagreements about the desirability of possible futures, and on differing perceptions of the infant’s current level of suffering. Those of us who witness the suffering of these tiny, mute infants all bear some responsibility to insure that their suffering is not without purpose.

Home Birth of Infants with Congenital Anomalies: A Case Study and Ethical Analysis of Careproviders’ Obligations

Jane Jankowski and Paul Burcher

This article presents the case of a mother who is planning a home birth with a midwife with the shared knowledge that the fetus would have congenital anomalies of unknown severity. We discuss the right of women to choose home birth, the caregivers’ duty to the infant, and the careproviders’ dilemma about how to respond to this request. The ethical duties of concerned careproviders are explored and reframed as professional obligations to the mother, infant, and their profession at large. Recommendations are offered based on this case in order to clarify the considerations surrounding not only home birth of a fetus with anticipated anomalies, but also to address the ethical obligations of caregivers who must navigate the unique tension between respecting the mother’s wishes and the duty of the careproviders to deliver optimal care.

Of Missing Voices and the Obstetric Imaginary: Commentary on Jankowski and Burcher

Melissa Cheyney

In this commentary, I respond to an ethical analysis of a case study, reported by Jankowski and Burcher, in which a woman gives birth to an infant with a known heart anomaly of unknown severity, at home, attended by a midwife. Jankowski and Burcher argue that the midwife who attended this family acted unethically because she knowingly operated outside of her scope of practice. While I agree that the authors’ conclusions are well supported by the portion of the story they were able to gather, the fact that the midwife and mother declined to engage in the ethics consult that informs their piece means that critical segments of the narrative are left untold. Some important additional considerations emerge from these silences.
I explore the implicit assumptions of the biotechnical embrace, the roles of the political economy of hope and the obstetric imaginary in driving prenatal testing, and institutional blame for the divisiveness of the home-hospital divide in the United States. The value of Jankowski and Burcher’s case study lies in its ability to highlight the intersections and potential conflicts between the principles of beneficence, patients’ autonomy, and professional ethics, and to begin to chart a course for us through them.

**Hinduism and Death with Dignity: Historic and Contemporary Case Examples**

*Rajan Dewar, Nancy Cahners, Christine Mitchell, and Lachlan Forrow*

An estimated 1.2 to 2.3 million Hindus live in the United States. End-of-life care choices for a subset of these patients may be driven by religious beliefs. In this article, we present Hindu beliefs that could strongly influence a devout person’s decisions about medical care, including end-of-life care. We provide four case examples (one sacred epic, one historical example, and two cases from current practice) that illustrate Hindu notions surrounding pain and suffering at the end of life. Chief among those is the principle of karma, through which one reaps the benefits and penalties for past deeds. Deference to one’s spouse or family is another important Hindu value, especially among Hindu women, which can impact the decision-making process and challenge the Western emphasis on autonomy. In addition, the Hindu embrace of astrology can lead to a desire to control the exact time of death. Confounding any generalizations, a Hindu patient may reject or accept treatments based on the individual patient’s or family’s interpretation of any given tradition. Through an awareness of some of the fundamental practices in Hinduism and the role of individual interpretation within the tradition, clinicians will be better able to support their Hindu patients and families at the end of life.

**Medical Professionalism in China and the United States: A Transcultural Interpretation**

*Jing-Bao Nie, Kirk L. Smith, Yali Cong, Linying Hu, and Joseph D. Tucker*

As in other societies, medical professionalism in the Peoples’ Republic of China has been rapidly evolving. One of the major events in this process was the endorsement in 2005 of the document, “Medical Professionalism in the New Millennium: A Physician Charter,” by the Chinese Medical Doctor Association (hereafter, the Charter).1 More recently, a national survey, the first on such a large scale, was conducted on Chinese physicians’ attitudes toward the fundamental principles and core commitments put forward in the Charter. Based on empirical findings from that study and comparing them to the published results of a similar American survey, the authors offer an in-depth interpretation of significant cross-cultural differences and important transcultural commonalities. The broader historical, socio-economic, and ethical issues relating to salient Chinese cultural practices such as family consent, familialism (the custom of deferring decisions to family members), and the withholding of medical information, as well as controversial topics such as not respecting patients’ autonomy, are examined. The Chinese Survey found that Chinese physicians supported the principles of the Charter in general. Here we argue that Chinese culture and traditional medical ethics are broadly compatible with the moral commitments demanded by modern medical professionalism. Methodologically and theoretically—recognizing the problems inherent in the hoary but still popular habit of dichotomizing cultures and in relativism—a transcultural approach is adopted that gives greater (due) weight to the internal moral diversity present within every culture, the common ground shared by different cultures, and the primacy of morality. Genuine cross-cultural dialogue, including a constructive Chinese-American dialogue in the area of medical professionalism, is not only possible, but necessary.

**Re-Evaluating Ethical Concerns in Planned Emergency Research Involving Critically Ill Patients: An Interpretation of the Guidance Document from the United States Food and Drug Administration**


**Background:** U.S. federal regulations require that certain ethical elements be followed to protect human research subjects. The location and clinical circumstances of a proposed research study can differ substantially and can have significant implications for these ethical considerations. Both the location and clinical circumstances are particularly relevant for research in intensive care units (ICUs), where patients are often unable to provide informed consent to participate in a proposed research intervention.

**Purpose:** Our goal is to elaborate on the updated 2013 U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidance document regarding an exemption from the requirement of obtaining informed consent from patients or their surrogates and to address certain elements within that document, thereby assisting clinicians in developing a framework for emergency research in accordance with the regulatory bodies at their own institutions and in the United States.

**Methods:** Review of the 2011 and updated FDA guidance document on exemption from informed consent.

**Results:** The current process of obtaining informed consent within ICUs needs to be revisited, especially for research in which timely informed consent is not likely. In particular, the process of obtaining informed consent may not be appropriate or even ethical for critically ill patients in extremis who require an intervention for which there is no current acceptable standard of care and clinical equipoise exists. We provide clinicians with a viewpoint that further elaborates on the FDA guidance document.
Limitations: The viewpoints provided herein are those of the authors and are therefore inherently limited by the personal views of a selected few. Other clinicians or researchers may not interpret the FDA guidelines in a similar manner. Moreover, the discussion of a guideline document is a limitation in and of itself. The guidelines set forth by the FDA are precisely that—guidelines. Therefore, they may not be followed as outlined in the guidance document within one’s own institution. Our goal is that, by elaborating on the guidelines for planned research involving human subjects in the ICU, institutional regulatory bodies may gain a better understanding in drafting their own document when faced with a clinician or a researcher who wishes to conduct planned research in an ICU.

Conclusions: We believe that the interpretations provided will allow clinicians to safely undertake planned research in ICUs without endangering the main tenets of ethical research involving human participants. This research is needed for the advancement of care in the critically ill

The Ethics of Physicians’ Web Searches for Patients’ Information
Nicholas Genes and Jacob Appel

When physicians search the web for personal information about their patients, others have argued that this undermines patients’ trust, and the physician-patient relationship in general. We add that this practice also places other relationships at risk, and could jeopardize a physician’s career.

Yet there are also reports of web searches that have unambiguously helped in the care of patients, suggesting circumstances in which a routine search of the web could be beneficial. We advance the notion that, just as nonverbal cues and unsolicited information can be useful in clinical decision making, so too can online information from patients. As electronic records grow more voluminous and span more types of data, searching these resources will become a clinical skill, to be used judiciously and with care—just as evaluating the literature is, today.

But to proscribe web searches of patients’ information altogether is as nonsensical as disregarding findings from physical exams—instead, what’s needed are guidelines for when to look and how to evaluate what’s uncovered, online.

Legal Briefing: Coerced Treatment and Involuntary Confinement for Contagious Disease
Thaddeus Mason Pope and Heather Michelle Bughman

This issue’s “Legal Briefing” column covers recent legal developments involving coerced treatment and involuntary confinement for contagious disease. Recent high profile court cases involving measles, tuberculosis, human immunodeficiency virus, and especially Ebola, have thrust this topic back into the bioethics and public spotlight. This has reignited debates over how best to balance individual liberty and public health. For example, the Presidential Commission for the Study of Bioethical Issues has officially requested public comments, held open hearings, and published a 90-page report on “ethical considerations and implications” raised by “U.S. public policies that restrict association or movement (such as quarantine).”1 Broadly related articles have been published in previous issues of JCE.2 We categorize recent legal developments on coerced treatment and involuntary confinement into the following six categories:
1. Most Public Health Confinement Is Voluntary
2. Legal Requirements for Involuntary Confinement
3. New State Laws Authorizing Involuntary Confinement
4. Quarantine Must Be as Least Restrictive as Necessary
5. Isolation Is Justified Only as a Last Resort
6. Coerced Treatment after Persistent Noncompliance.
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S. Van McCrary, Shetal I. Shah, Adriann Combs, and J. Gerald Quirk
**Quality of Life and Elective C-Sections: Defining Limits to Maternal and Family Interests**
Jeffrey P. Spike
**Which Patient Groups Should Be Asked to Participate in First-in-Human Trials of Stem-Cell-Based Therapies?**
Kristina Hug and Göran Hermerén
**Time to Stop Worrying about the Therapeutic Misconception**
David S. Wendler
**Letter: Providing More Reasons for Individuals to Register as Organ Donors**
Macey Leigh Henderson

**The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 23, Number 2, Summer 2012**
**How Should Careproviders Respond to Patients’ Requests That May Be Refused?**
Edmund G. Howe
**Living Donation and Cosmetic Surgery: A Double Standard in Medical Ethics?**
Giuliano Testa, Erica Carlisle, Mary Simmerling, and Peter Angelos
**Different Standards Are Not Double Standards: All Elective Surgical Patients Are Not Alike**
Lainie Friedman Ross, Walter Glannon, Lawrence J. Gottlieb, and J. Richard Thistlethwaite, Jr.
**The Effect of Completing a Surrogacy Information and Decision-Making Tool upon Admission to an Intensive Care Unit on Length of Stay and Charges**
Carol W. Hatler, Charlene Grove, Stephanie Strickland, Starr Barron, and Bruce D. White
**Training in Clinical Ethics: Launching the Clinical Ethics Immersion Course at the Center for Ethics at the Washington Hospital Center**
Nneka O. Mokwunye, Evan G. DeRenzo, Virginia A. Brown, and John J. Lynch
**Training in Clinical Ethics Consultation: The Washington Hospital Center Course**
Jeffrey P. Spike
**Truly Intensive Clinical Ethics Immersion at the Washington Hospital Center**
Christopher L. Church and Thalia Arawi
**Credentialing the Clinical Ethics Consultant: An Academic Medical Center Affirms Professionalism and Practice**
Cathleen A. Acres, Kenneth Prager, George E. Hardart, and Joseph J. Fins
**Ethics Been Very Good to Us**
Giles R. Scofield
**Inside Baseball and Ethics Consultation: A Comment on “Ethics Been Very Good to Us”**
Norman Quist
**Credentialing and Certification in Ethics Consultation: Lessons from Palliative Care**
David Schiedermayer and John La Puma
**Always on Call: Thoughts from a Neophyte Physician**
Jonathan R. Scarff and David W. Musick
**Legal Briefing: The Unbefriended: Making Healthcare Decisions for Patients Without Surrogates (Part 2)**
Thaddeus Mason Pope and Tanya Sellers

**The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 23, Number 1, Spring 2012**
**How Careproviders Can Acquire and Apply Greater Wisdom**
Edmund G. Howe
**Clinical Wisdom in Psychoanalysis and Psychodynamic Psychotherapy: A Philosophical and Qualitative Analysis**
Cynthia Baum-Baicker and Dominic A. Sisti
**Response: Clinical Wisdom and Evidence-Based Medicine Are Complementary**
Julian De Freitas, Omar S. Haque, Abilash A. Gopal, and Harold J. Bursztajn
**Clinical Wisdom and Evidence-Based Medicine Are (Indeed) Complementary: A Reply to Bursztajn and Colleagues**
Introduction to “Exemplary Cases in Clinical Ethics”
Leslie LeBlanc

Clinical Ethics Case Report: Questionable Capacity and the Guidance of Living Wills
Ari VanderWalde

Exemplary Cases in Clinical Ethics: Commentary on the Case of Mr. A.
Jeffrey Spike

Mind the Gap: The Lack of Common Language in Healthcare Ethics
Michael A. Kekewich, Dorothyann Curran, Jennifer L. Cornick, and Thomas C. Foreman

John J. Mitchell, Jr.

Legal Briefing: Futile or Non-Beneficial Treatment
Thaddeus Mason Pope

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 22, Number 2, Summer 2011

Helping Patients by Involving Their Families
Edmund G. Howe

Responsive Care Management: Family Decision Makers in Advanced Cancer
Mary Ann Meeker

Trusting Families: Responding to Mary Ann Meeker, “Responsive Care Management: Family Decision Makers in Advanced Cancer”
James Lindemann Nelson

Revisiting the Best Interest Standard: Uses and Misuses
Douglas S. Diekema

The Best Interest Standard: Both Guide and Limit to Medical Decision Making on Behalf of Incapacitated Patients
Thaddeus Mason Pope

Between Uncertainty and Certainty
Lena Hoff and Göran Hermerén

A Systematic Review of Activities at a High-Volume Ethics Consultation Service
Courtenay R. Bruce, Martin L. Smith, Sabahat Hizlan, and Richard R. Sharp

Report of the AMA Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs: Professionalism in the Use of Social Media
Rebecca Shore, Julia Halsey, Kavita Shah, Bette-Jane Crigger, and Sharon P. Douglas

Online Professionalism: Social Media, Social Contracts, Trust, and Medicine
Lois Snyder

Medical Professionalism: A Tale of Two Doctors
Tristan Gorrindo and James E. Groves

Nonclinical Use of Online Social Networking Sites: New and Old Challenges to Medical Professionalism
Lindsay A. Thompson and Erik W. Black

Blurring Boundaries and Online Opportunities
Jeanne M. Farnan and Vineet M. Arora

Physician, Monitor Thyself: Professionalism and Accountability in the Use of Social Media
Tara Lagu and S. Ryan Greysen

Social Media and Interpersonal Relationships: For Better or Worse?
Norman Quist

Certifying Clinical Ethics Consultants: Who Pays?
Marianne Burda

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 22, Number 1, Spring 2011

How Can Careproviders Most Help Patients during a Disaster?
Edmund G. Howe

Imagining the Unthinkable, Illuminating the Present
Jeffrey T. Berger, Guest Editor, Special Section: Clinical Ethics in Catastrophic Situations: Mapping a Standard of Care—Imagining the Unthinkable

An Ethical Framework for the Responsible Management of Pregnant Patients in a Medical Disaster
Frank A. Chervenak and Laurence B. McCullough

Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions to Limit the Transmission of a Pandemic Virus: The Need for Complementary Programs to Address Children’s Diverse Needs
Armand H. Matheny Antommaria and Emily A. Thorell
Unaltered Ethical Standards for Individual Physicians in the Face of Drastically Reduced Resources Resulting from an Improvised Nuclear Device Threat
J. Jaime Caro, C. Norman Coleman, Ann Knebel, and Evan G. DeRenzo

Attending to Social Vulnerability When Rationing Pandemic Resources
Dorothy E. Vawter, J. Eline Garrett, Karen G. Gervais, Angela Witt Prehn, and Debra DeBruin

Ethical Care for Infants with Conditions Not Curable with Intensive Care
Bethan J. Everett and Susan G. Albersheim

Priority Setting Up Close
Barbara Russell and Deb deVlaming

Personal Perspective: “Evidence-Debased Medicine” and the Integrity of the Medical Profession
Richard L. Elliott

Legal Briefing: Healthcare Ethics Committees
Thaddeus Mason Pope

Letter: Ethical Issues Related to Direct Nursing Care Time, Compared to Time Spent Charting in Intensive Care Units
Peter Roffey and Duraiyah Thangathurai

Letter: Esprit de Corps
Evan G. DeRenzo and Jack Schwartz

2010

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 21, Number 4, Winter 2010
A Possible Application of Care-Based Ethics to People with Disabilities during a Pandemic
Edmund G. Howe

Bedside Ethics and Health System Catastrophe: Imagine If You Will . . .
Jeffrey T. Berger, Guest Editor

Family Participation in the Care of Patients in Public Health Disasters
Tia Powell

Sufficiency of Care in Disasters: Ventilation, Ventilator Triage, and the Misconception of Guideline-Driven Treatment
Griffin Trotter

Pandemic Preparedness Planning: Will Provisions for Involuntary Termination of Life Support Invite Active Euthanasia?
Jeffrey T. Berger

Should Palliative Care Be a Necessity or a Luxury during an Overwhelming Health Catastrophe?
Philip M. Rosoff

Taking Seriously the “What Then?” Question: An Ethical Framework for the Responsible Management of Medical Disasters
Laurence B. McCullough

The Elephant in the Room: Collaboration and Competition among Relief Organizations during High-Profile Disasters
Italo Subbarao, Matthew K. Wynia, and Frederick M. Burkle, Jr.

Medical School Oath-Taking: The Moral Controversy
Robert M. Veatch and Cheryl C. Macpherson

Allocating Scarce Medical Resources to the Overweight
Adrian Furnham, Niroosha Loganathan, and Alastair McClelland

Legal Briefings: Crisis Standards of Care and Legal Protection during Disasters and Emergencies
Thaddeus Mason Pope and Mitchell F. Palazzo

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 21, Number 3, Fall 2010
All Careproviders Need More Opportunities to Share their Ethical Concerns with Others
Edmund G. Howe

The Rational Choice Model in Family Decision Making at the End of Life
Alison Karasz, Galit Sacajiu, Misha Kogan, and Liza Watkins

“Broken Covenant”: Healthcare Aides’ “Experience of the Ethical” in Caring for Dying Seniors in a Personal Care Home
Susan McClement, Michelle Lobchuk, Harvey Max Chochinov, and Ruth Dean

Characterizing the Risk in Pediatric Research: An Ethical Examination of the Federal Regulations
Maynard Dyson and Kayhan Parsi
Breach Notification and the Law
Sharona Hoffman

Therapeutic Privilege
S. Van McCrary

Patients’ Expressed and Unexpressed Needs for Information for Informed Consent
Rebecca L. Volpe

Reasoning in the Capacity to Make Medical Decisions: The Consideration of Values
Michele J. Karel, Ronald J. Gurrera, Bret Hicken, and Jennifer Moye

Legal Briefing: Informed Consent
Thaddeus Mason Pope

Legal Update
Thaddeus Mason Pope

Correspondence: Where Is the Voice of the Man the Child Will Become?
John V. Geisheker
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The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 20, Number 4, Winter 2009

Should Careproviders Go Ethically “Off Label”?
Edmund G. Howe

The Just Distance: Narrative, Singularity, and Relationality as the Source of a New Bioethical Principle
Paul Qualtere-Burcher

Patients Don’t Consider End-Stage Medical Conditions the Same as Being Permanently Unconscious
When Filling Out a Living Will
Kelli M. Manippo and Jack L. DePriest

Variability in the Pediatric Intensivists’ Threshold for Withdrawal/Limitation of Life Support as Perceived by Bedside Nurses
Colleen Gresiuk and Ari Joffe

Variability in the Limitation of Life Support in Pediatrics Continues
Anita J. Cattin

Moral Distress, Moral Residue, and the Crescendo Effect
Elizabeth Gingell Epstein and Ann Baile Hamric

A Quality Improvement Approach to Improving Informed Consent Practices in Pediatric Research
Mary Beth Foglia, Halle Showalter Salas, and Douglas S. Diekema

A Descriptive and Moral Evaluation of Providing Informal Medical Care to One’s Own Children
Jennifer K. Walter, Elizabeth Pappano, and Lainie Friedman Ross

Legal Briefing: Advance Care Planning
Thaddeus Mason Pope

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 20, Number 3, Fall 2009

Beyond the State of the Art in Ethics Consultation
Edmund G. Howe

Physician Orders to Supplement Advance Directives: Rescuing Patient Autonomy
Ronald B. Miller

Integrating Ethics and Patient Safety: The Role of Ethics Consultants in Quality Improvement
Douglas J. Opel, Dena Brownstein, Douglas S. Diekema, Benjamin S. Wilfond, and Robert A. Pearlman

When Humor in the Hospital Is No Laughing Matter
Julie M. Aultman

Non-Adherence to Instructions to Cancel a Cycle in a Patient Overstimulated with Gonadotropins in a Planned Intrauterine Insemination Cycle
Awoniyi Olumide Awonuga, Mauro H. Schenone, Mazen E. Abdallah, Frank D. Yelian, Michael P. Diamond, and Elizabeth E. Puscheck

Preventive Ethics and Subsequent Care of Patients Self-Administering Ovarian Stimulation for the Management of Infertility
Frank A. Chervenak and Laurence B. McCullough

Boldt v. Boldt
Dena S. Davis

Where Is the Child? Circumcision and Custody in Boldt v. Boldt
Janet L. Dolgin
**Boldt v. Boldt: A Pediatric Ethics Perspective**  
Douglas S. Diekema

**Circumcision, Conversion, and Deciding for a Minor: Some Jewish Perspectives**  
Noam Zohar

**The Diagnosis of Dying**  
George F. Davis

**As Prickly as a Porcupine: Reflections on a Systems-Based “Experiential Sculpting Exercise”**  
Thomas C. Foreman

**Substituted Judgment Surrogates Have Not Been Shown to Make Inaccurate Substituted Judgments**  
Linus Broström and Mats Johansson

**Legal Briefing: Medical Futility and Assisted Suicide**  
Thaddeus Mason Pope

**Legal Update**  
Thaddeus Mason Pope
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**The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 20, Number 2, Summer 2009**

**Paradigms for Choosing Paradigms**  
Edmund G. Howe

Katrina Hedberg, David Hopkins, Richard Leman, and Melvin Kohn

**Putting Oregon’s Death with Dignity Act in Perspective: Characteristics of Decedents Who Did Not Participate**  
Katrina Hedberg and Susan Tolle

**Must Physicians Report Impaired Driving? Rethinking a Duty on a Collision Course with Itself**  
Jacob Appel

**Is There Coercion or Undue Inducement to Participate in Health Research in Developing Countries? An Example from Rakai, Uganda**  
Fred Nalugoda, Jennifer Wagman, Mohammed Kiddugavu, Noah Kiwanuka, Elizabeth Garrett, Ron H. Gray, David Serwadda, Maria J. Wawer, and Ezekiel J. Emanuel

**Patients’ Perceptions on Healthcare Decision Making in Rural India: A Qualitative Study and Ethical Analysis**  
Sridevi Seetharam and Renzo Zanotti

**Patients’ Concerns for Family Burden: A Nonconforming Preference in Standards for Surrogate Decision Making**  
Jeffrey T. Berger

**Berger on Burdens**  
James Lindemann Nelson

**Commentary on “Patients’ Concerns for Family Burden”**  
Carol Levine

**Commentary on Berger’s “Patients’ Concerns for Family Burden”**  
Evan G. DeRenzo

**When I Lay My Burden Down: Commentary on Berger**  
Arthur R. Derse

**What Really Is in a Child’s Best Interest? Toward a More Precise Picture of the Interests of Children**  
Janet Malek

**Accommodating Religious and Moral Objections to Neurological Death**  
Robert S. Olick, Eli A. Braun, and Joel Potash

**Religious Belief and Surrogate Medical Decision Making**  
Stewart Eskew and Christopher Meyers

---

**The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 20, Number 1 Spring 2009**

**Increasing Consensus with Patients and their Loved Ones**  
Edmund G. Howe

**Increasing Rates of Organ Donation: Exploring the Institute of Medicine’s Boldest Recommendation**  
James M. DuBois

**Translating the IOM’s “Boldest Recommendation” into Accepted Practice**  
Stephen P. Wall, Nancy N. Dubler, and Lewis R. Goldfrank, on behalf of the New York City Uncontrolled Donation after Cardiac Death Study Group
Dignity Matters: Advance Care Planning for People Experiencing Homelessness
Dianne M. Bartels, Nancy Ulvestad, Edward Ratner, Melanie Wall, Mari M. Uutala, and John Song

Using Family Members as Interpreters in the Clinical Setting
Anita Ho

Medical Ethics in Correctional Healthcare: An International Comparison of Guidelines
Bernice S. Elger

When Prisoners Are Patients
Sharon Douglas and Susan Dorr Goold

Commentary on Elger’s “Medical Ethics in Correctional Healthcare”
Robert W. Keisling

Commentary on Elger’s “Medical Ethics in Correctional Healthcare”
Joel A. Dvoskin

Physicians, Mass Incarceration, and Medical Ethics
Scott A. Allen

Cruel and Unusual Punishment: Distinguishing Distributive and Retributive Justice
Felicia Cohn

Medical Ethics and Competence for Execution
David M. Adams

Response to Douglas and Goold
Bernice S. Elger

Legal Trends in Bioethics
Sigrid Fry-Revere, Nathaniel B. Revere, Deborah Chen, and Sheeba Koshy

Henry S. Perkins, Josie D. Cortez, and Helen P. Hazuda

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 19, Number 2, Summer 2008

Red Towels: Maximizing the Care of Patients Who Are Dying
Edmund G. Howe

Rethinking the Ethical Framework for Surrogate Decision Making: A Qualitative Study of Physicians
Alexia M. Torke, Mary Simmerling, Mark Siegler, Danit Kaya, and G. Caleb Alexander

Deciding in the Best Interest of Clients with Dementia: The Experience of Public Guardians
Holly A. Taylor, Betty S. Black, and Peter V. Rabins

A Forced Choice: The Value of Requiring Advance Directives
Elmer D. Abbo and Angelo E. Volandes

KidneyMatch.com: The Ethics of Solicited Organ Donations
Richard H. Dees and Eric A. Singer

Last Hours of Life: Encouraging End-of-Life Conversations
Benjamin F. Stump, Craig M. Klugman, and Barbara Thornton

Spiritual Issues as an Essential Element of Quality Palliative Care: A Commentary
Christina M. Puchalski

End-of-Life Care: Conversations and Opportunities
Margaret M. Mahon

Commentary on “Last Hours of Life: Encouraging End-of-Life Conversations”
Craig D. Blinderman

Legal Trends in Bioethics
Sigrid Fry-Revere, Sheeba Koshy, Greyson C. Ruback, Rex L. Wessel, and Nathaniel B. Revere

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 19, Number 1, Spring 2008

When, If Ever, Should Careproviders Give Moral Advice?
Edmund G. Howe

Of More than One Mind: Obstetrician-Gynecologists’ Approaches to Morally Controversial Decisions in Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare
Farr A. Curlin, Shira N. Dinner, and Stacy Tessler Lindau

Commentary on “Of More than One Mind”
Edmund D. Pellegrino

Professional Responsibility and Individual Conscience: Protecting the Informed Consent Process from Impermissible Bias
Frank A. Chervenak and Laurence B. McCullough
Peter Sloane and Evan G. DeRenzo
**Comment on the Case of Mr. A.B.**
Paul S. Appelbaum

**Legal Trends in Bioethics**
Sigrid Fry-Revere, Sheeba Koshy, and John Leppard, IV

**The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 18, Number 3, Fall 2007**

**How Should Careproviders Respond When the Medical System Leaves a Patient Short?**
Edmund G. Howe

**Autonomy and the Family as Surrogates for DNR Decisions: A Qualitative Analysis of Dying Cancer Patients’ Talk**
Jaklin Eliott and Ian Olver

**What Families Say about Surrogacy: A Response to “Autonomy and the Family as (In)appropriate Surrogates for DNR Decisions”**
James L. Nelson and Hilde Lindemann

**The Armchair Ethicist: It’s All about Location**
Douglas S. Diekema

**Response from Eliott and Olver**
Jaklin Eliott and Ian Olver

**Ethics Consultation: Continuing its Analysis**
Barbara J. Russell and Deborah A. Pape

**Professional Clinical Ethicist: Knowing Why and Limits**
Paul J. Ford

**Memento . . . Life Imitates Art: The Request for an Ethics Consultation**
Sheila Otto

**Memory Identity and Capacity**
Jeffrey P. Spike

**Decision-Making Capacity, Memory and Informed Consent, and Judgment at the Boundaries of the Self**
Omar Sultan Haque and Harold Bursztajn

**The Challenges of Amnesia in Assessing Capacity, Assigning a Proxy, and Deciding to Forego Life-Prolonging Medical Treatment**
Catherine Myser

**Harvard Medical School Public Forum: Insuring the Uninsured: Does Massachusetts Have the Right Model? 17 May 2007**
Lisa Lehmann

**Legal Trends in Bioethics**
Sigrid Fry-Revere and Sheeba Koshy

**The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 18, Number 2, Summer 2007**

**“I’m Still Glad You Were Born” — Careproviders and Genetic Counseling**
Edmund G. Howe

**The Role of Substituted Judgment in the Aftermath of a Suicide Attempt**
Robert C. Macauley

**Commentary: Support for Case-Based Analysis in Decision Making after a Suicide Attempt**
Tia Powell

**Flipping the Default: A Novel Approach to Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation in End-Stage Dementia**
Angelo E. Volandes and Elmer D. Abbo

**Proactive Ethics Consultation in the ICU: A Comparison of Value Perceived by Healthcare Professionals and Recipients**
Felicia Cohn, Paula Goodman-Crews, William Rudman, Lawrence J. Schneiderman, and Ellen Waldman

**Uncertainty and Moral Judgment: The Limits of Reason in Genetic Decision Making**
Mary Terrell White

**Pride and Prejudice: Avoiding Genetic Gossip in the Age of Genetic Testing**
Darlyn Pirakitikul and Harold J. Bursztajn

**Legal Trends in Bioethics**
Sigrid Fry-Revere, with the research assistance of John Joseph Leppard, IV, Molly Elgin, William Bryce Hankins, III, and Scott Ryan Grandt
The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 18, Number 1, Spring 2007

Taking Patients’ Values Seriously
Edmund G. Howe

How Much Risk Can Medicine Allow a Willing Altruist?
David Steinberg

Living Donor Transplantation: The Perfect Balance of Public Oversight and Medical Responsibility
Maryam Valapour

Reply to Valapour, “Living Donor Transplantation: The Perfect Balance of Public Oversight and Medical Responsibility”
David Steinberg

What Should We Do with Patients Who Buy a Kidney Overseas?
Marie-Chantal Fortin, Delphine Roigt, and Hubert Doucet

As Sure As Eggs? Responses to an Ethical Question Posed by Abramov, Elchalal, and Schenker
Deborah Sarah Ferber

Is Subfertility a Medical Condition?
Jeroen D. Kok

Some Reflections on IVF, Emotions, and Patient Autonomy
Deborah Sarah Ferber

Ethical Considerations in Clinical Care of the “VIP”
Thomas Schenkenberg, Neil K. Kochenour, and Jeffrey R. Botkin

Ethics Consultants’ Recommendations for Life-Prolonging Treatment of Patients in Persistent Vegetative State: A Follow-up Study
Ellen Fox, Frona C. Daskal, and Carol Stocking

Legal Trends in Bioethics
Sigrid Fry-Revere
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The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 17, Number 4, Winter 2006

Do We Undervalue Feelings in Patients Who Are Cognitively Impaired?
Edmund G. Howe

Report of the American Medical Association Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs: Withholding Information from Patients: Rethinking the Propriety of “Therapeutic Privilege”
Nathan A. Bostick, Robert Sade, John W. McMahon, and Regina Benjamin

The Grand Inquisitor’s Choice: Comment on the CEJA Report on Withholding Information from Patients
Darlyn Pirakitikulr and Harold J. Bursztajn

The End of Therapeutic Privilege?
Nicole Sirotin and Bernard Lo

Comment on the CEJA Guidelines: Treating Patients Who Deny Reality
Edmund G. Howe

When Patients Do Not Have a Proxy: A Procedure for Medical Decision Making When There Is No One to Speak for the Patient
Isoo Hyun, Cynthia Griggins, Margaret Weiss, Dorothy Robbins, Allyson Robichaud, and Barbara Daly

A Mother’s Death: The Story of “Margaret’s” Children
Christine Mitchell

The Ethics of Palliative Care in Psychiatry
Julietta Bleichmar Holman and David H. Brendel

A Letter from the Children
Interview of the Children of the Patient “Margaret”
Coleen Reid

Medicating “Margaret”

A Mother’s Death: The Story of “Margaret’s” Children
Interview by Christine Mitchell

Attention to Caregivers and Hope: Overlooked Aspects of Ethics Consultation
Ruth B. Purtlio

Suffering and Advanced Dementia: Diagnostic and Treatment Challenges and Questions about
Interest in Physician-Assisted Suicide among Oregon Cancer Patients
Linda Ganzini, Tomasz M. Beer, Matthew Brouns, Motomi Mori, and Yi-Ching Hsieh

"Physician-Assisted Suicide among Oregon Cancer Patients": A Fading Issue
Colleen C. Denny and Ezekiel J. Emanuel

Response to Denny and Emanuel
Linda Ganzini

The Duty to Re-Contact for Newly Appreciated Risk Factors: Fragile X Premutation
Gregory F. Guzauskas and Robert Roger Lebel

Child-to-Parent Bone Marrow Donation for Treatment of Sickle Cell Disease
Lisa Anderson-Shaw and Kristina Orfali

Diagnosing PVS and Minimally Conscious State: The Role of Tacit Knowledge and Intuition
Mary Terrell White

Advance Health Planning and Treatment Preferences among Recipients of Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators: An Exploratory Study
Jeffrey T. Berger, Matthew Gorski, and Todd Cohen

When a Village is Not Enough
Christine Mitchell and Robert Truog

Sick to Death
Grace Good

The Case Manager's View
Suzanne M. Burke

“We Need to Meet”
Jennifer Repper-DeLisi and Susan M. Kilroy

Was My Patient Fortunate or Forsaken?
Robin Dauterive

Talking with Lorraine’s Mother and Sister, Five Months after Her Death
Ellen M. Robinson, Grace Good, and Suzanne Burke
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The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 16, Number 4, Winter 2005

Shame, Slap Jack, and Families that Should Lie
Edmund G. Howe

The District of Columbia Amends its Health-Care Decisions Act: Bioethics Committees in the Arena of Public Policy
Douglas B. Mishkin and Gail Povar

Families and Bioethics: Old Problems, New Themes
James Lindemann Nelson

Voice: Cognitive Impairment and Medical Decision Making
Tia Powell

On the Mend: Alzheimer’s and Family Caregiving
Hilde Lindemann

Imaginary Fathers: A Sentimental Perspective on the Question of Identifying Sperm Donors
Catherine Belling

Like a Motherless Child: Fetal Eggs and Families
Laura Purdy

Families and Futility: Forestalling Demands for Futile Treatment
John Hardwig

Practicing Physicians and the Role of Family Surrogate Decision Making
George E. Hardart and Robert D. Truog

The State of Research Ethics: A Tribute to John C. Fletcher
Franklin G. Miller and Jonathan D. Moreno

Informed Consent: An End or a Means? A Response to Miller and Moreno
Robert D. Truog

Informed Consent and the Therapeutic Misconception: Clarifying the Challenge
Gopal Sreenivasan

H.M. Evans
Informed Consent and the Ethics of Clinical Research: Reply to Commentaries
Franklin G. Miller and Jonathan D. Moreno

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 16, Number 3, Fall 2005
When Should Ethics Consultants Risk Giving their Personal Views?
Edmund G. Howe
Specters, Traces, and Regret in Ethics Consultation
Paul J. Ford and Denise M. Dudzinski
“Amputate My Arm Please — I Don’t Want It Anymore”
Denise M. Dudzinski
Helping Staff Help a “Hateful” Patient: The Case of TJ
Joy D. Skeel and Kristi S. Williams
Misjudging Needs: A Messy Spiral of Complexity
Paul J. Ford
The Sound of Chains: A Tragedy
Jeffrey Spike
“But How Can We Choose?”
Richard M. Zaner
Ethics First Aid: Reframing the Role of “Principlism” in Clinical Ethics Education and Practice
Daryl Pullman
Confidence and Knowledge of Medical Ethics among Interns Entering Residency in Different Specialties
Daniel P. Sulmasy, Robert E. Ferris, and Wayne A. Ury
In Defense of Tongue Splitting
Jacob M. Appel
Cochlear Implants in Children: Ethics, Informed Consent, and Parental Decision Making
Abby L. Berg, Alice Herb, and Marsha Hurst
Dying While Homeless: Is It a Concern When Life Itself Is Such a Struggle?
John Song, Edward R. Ratner, and Dianne M. Bartels
Raging Against the Night: Dying Homeless and Alone
James J. O’Connell
Ethical Issues Encountered by Clinical Researchers
Gordon DuVal, Gary Gensler, and Marion Danis

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 16, Number 2, Summer 2005
Why Are They Boxing Us in Like This?
Edmund G. Howe
Do Elderly Persons’ Concerns for Family Burden Influence their Preferences for Future Participation in Dementia Research?
Jeffrey T. Berger and S. Deborah Majerovitz
The Politics of Care: Dementia and Accounting versus Caring for Mortification
Alice Stollmeyer
Deciding for Others at the End of Life: Storytelling and Moral Agency
Mark Yarborough
Emancipation, Capacity, and the Difference Between Law and Ethics
Evan G. DeRenzo, Philip Panzarella, Steve Selinger, and Jack Schwartz
Proactive Bioethics Screening: A Prelude to Bioethics Consultation
Leon Morgenstern
Readability Level of HIPAA Notices of Privacy Practices Used by Physical Rehabilitation Centers
Steven Walfish and Sean P. Sharp
Physicians, Medical Ethics, and Capital Punishment
Timothy F. Murphy
Saying “Good-Bye”: Ethical Issues in the Stewardship of Bed Spaces
Katrina A. Bramstedt and Paul L. Schneider
A Response to Shalowitz and Emanuel
Gerrit Kimsma, Keith L. Obstein, and Tod Chambers

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 16, Number 1, Spring 2005
Patients’ Interests in their Family Members’ Well-Being: An Overlooked, Fundamental Consideration within Substituted Judgments
Jeffrey T. Berger

Autonomy and the Role of the Family in Making Decisions at the End of Life
Jonathan M. Breslin

Application of Systems Principles to Resolving Ethical Dilemmas in Medicine
George F. Blackall, Michael J. Green, and Steve Sims

Dawning of Awareness: The Experience of Surrogate Decision Making at the End of Life
Jane Chambers-Evans and Franco A. Carnavale

Evolution of a Living Donor Liver Transplantation Advocacy Program
Lisa Anderson-Shaw, Mary Lou Schmidt, Jeanine Elkin, William Chamberlin, Enrico Benedetti, and Guiliano Testa

Wanted Dead or Alive? Kidney Transplantation in Inmates Awaiting Execution
Jacob M. Appel

Recommendations for the Ethical Conduct of Quality Improvement
Ellen Fox and James A. Tulsky

Ethical Evaluation of “Retainer Fee” Medical Practice
Mervin H. Needell and John S. Kenyon

Urine Trouble: Practical, Legal, and Ethical Issues Surrounding Mandated Drug Testing of Physicians
Martin Donohoe

The Journal of Clinical Ethics, Volume 15, Number 4, Winter 2004

Disability
Edmund G. Howe

Introduction to a Special Section on Disability Ethics
Teresa A. Savage, Carol J. Gill, and Kristi L. Kirschner

Brain Trauma and Surrogate Decision Making: Dogmas, Challenges, and Response
James Lindemann Nelson and Joel Frader

Depolarizing and Complicating the Ethics of Treatment Decision Making in Brain Injury: A Disability Rights Response to Nelson and Frader
Carol J. Gill

A Response to Gill
James Lindemann Nelson and Joel Frader

Ethical Issues in Rehabilitation in the Home-Care Setting
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